

Airway Management: Protocols Under Review for OHCA and ILD

Written by The Life Science Feed Editorial Team · Published 21 June 2026 · Edited by William Lopes

Optimal mechanical ventilation and respiratory management are fundamental for patients in the intensive care unit (ICU) following resuscitation from out-of-hospital cardiac arrest (OHCA) to minimise secondary brain injury. However, current best practices for mechanical ventilation and their association with clinical outcomes in OHCA patients remain unclear. Similarly, the outcomes of invasive mechanical ventilation in critically ill patients with interstitial lung disease (ILD) require further systematic evaluation.

KEY TAKEAWAYS

- **The Pivot:** Current best practices for mechanical ventilation in OHCA and ILD patients are not clearly defined, prompting new research protocols.
- **The Data:** No definitive outcome data is available from the provided protocols; they focus on study design for future analysis.
- **The Action:** Clinicians should be aware that ventilation strategies are an active area of research, and current guidelines may evolve as new evidence emerges.

Mechanical ventilation (MV) and respiratory management are critical components of patient care in the intensive care unit (ICU) following resuscitation from out-of-hospital cardiac arrest (OHCA). The primary goal is to support patients and minimise secondary brain injury. Despite its importance, the optimal ventilation strategies and their association with clinical outcomes in OHCA patients are not yet clearly established.^{1,2,3}

What the studies are doing

One pre-planned sub-analysis, part of the STEPCARE trial, aims to investigate ventilation strategies and outcomes after OHCA. This protocol outlines a detailed approach to evaluate how different mechanical ventilation practices correlate with clinical outcomes in this specific patient population.¹

Another systematic review and meta-analysis protocol focuses on the outcomes of invasive mechanical ventilation in critically ill patients with interstitial lung disease (ILD). This research aims to synthesise existing evidence to clarify the efficacy and safety of MV in ILD, where respiratory support can be particularly challenging.²

While the primary focus of the aforementioned protocols is on ventilation strategies in OHCA and ILD, other research highlights specific interventions within airway management. For example, early prone positioning after acute Type A aortic dissection surgery has been investigated for moderate-to-severe acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS). This intervention was found to be safe and shortened the duration of mechanical ventilation in this specific surgical context.³

Limitations & Next Steps

The provided research consists of study protocols, meaning definitive clinical outcomes or statistically significant findings are not yet available. The STEPCARE sub-analysis and the systematic review on ILD outcomes are designed to address current knowledge gaps regarding best practices in mechanical ventilation. The findings from these studies, once completed, will provide evidence-based insights into optimal ventilation strategies for OHCA and ILD patients. The study on prone positioning, while providing specific findings for a surgical population, does not directly address the broader questions of optimal ventilation strategies in OHCA or ILD.^{1,2,3}

CLINICAL IMPLICATIONS

The current landscape of airway management, particularly for critically ill patients post-OHCA and those with ILD, remains an area of significant uncertainty. The fact that multiple protocols are being developed to define 'best practices' for mechanical ventilation underscores a notable gap in our evidence base. Clinicians are currently navigating these complex scenarios without the benefit of clear, universally accepted guidelines derived from robust data. This situation necessitates a cautious, individualised approach, relying heavily on clinical judgment and institutional protocols, which may vary widely.

For patients, this lack of definitive evidence means that the quality of respiratory support they receive could be inconsistent, depending on the treating institution and clinician. While the intent is always to minimise secondary injury and improve outcomes, the absence of strong evidence-based protocols leaves room for variability that might impact patient recovery. The industry, including manufacturers of ventilators and respiratory support devices, should note the ongoing need for research that can inform the development of more targeted and effective technologies. Clearer guidelines could drive innovation in devices designed for specific patient populations, such as those with OHCA or ILD.

It is imperative that the medical community, including guideline bodies like the European Resuscitation Council or the American Thoracic Society, closely monitor the outcomes of these ongoing studies. The eventual data from the STEPCARE sub-analysis and the ILD systematic review will be critical in shaping future recommendations for mechanical ventilation. Until then, the emphasis must remain on meticulous patient assessment, continuous monitoring, and a willingness to adapt strategies as new, evidence-based insights emerge. The current state highlights that even in fundamental areas of critical care, the pursuit of optimal patient management is an ongoing scientific endeavour.

EDITORIAL & AI STANDARDS

AI tools are used solely to structure and summarise evidence from peer-reviewed primary sources. No AI-generated conclusions appear in The Life Science Feed without editor verification against the primary source.

REFERENCES

1. Battaglini D, Gualdi F, Cammarota G. Ventilation strategies and outcomes after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: protocol for a pre-planned sub-analysis of the STEPCARE trial. *BMJ Open* 2026. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2026-118872
2. Kola N, Parker R, Hall A. Outcomes of invasive mechanical ventilation in critically ill patients with interstitial lung disease: protocol for a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ Open* 2026. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2026-118165
- 3.

3. Chen H, Wu C, Chen Y. Early Prone Positioning After Acute Type A Aortic Dissection Surgery for Moderate-to-Severe Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome Is Safe and Shortens Duration of Mechanical Ventilation. Crit Care Explor 2026. doi:10.1097/cce.0000000000001420

LICENCE & RIGHTS

© 2026 The Life Science Feed. All rights reserved. This content is produced for medical education purposes. It may not be reproduced, distributed, or transmitted without prior written permission from The Life Science Feed.

MEDICAL DISCLAIMER

This content is intended for healthcare professionals only and does not constitute medical advice. Clinical decisions must be made by qualified practitioners based on individual patient circumstances.

FOLLOW THE LIFE SCIENCE FEED

Instagram @lifesciencefeed **LinkedIn** linkedin.com/company/thelifesciencefeed

thelifesciencefeed.com