Antisemitism Review 'Does Not Reflect Reality' of NHS Racism

Written by The Life Science Feed Editorial Team · Published 2 July 2026 · Edited by Mara Voss

The National Health Service (NHS) has faced scrutiny regarding its handling of discrimination, particularly antisemitism. A recent independent review, commissioned to examine antisemitism within the NHS, has drawn criticism from various minority groups who contend that its scope and conclusions do not adequately represent the pervasive nature of racism experienced by staff and patients from diverse ethnic backgrounds.

KEY TAKEAWAYS

- The Pivot: An independent review into antisemitism within the NHS has been criticised for its narrow focus and for failing to acknowledge broader systemic racism.
- The Data: Specific quantitative data on the prevalence of antisemitism or other forms of racism within the NHS was not provided in the public discourse surrounding the review.
- The Action: Clinicians and healthcare leaders should recognise that addressing discrimination requires a comprehensive approach that extends beyond single forms of prejudice to encompass all manifestations of racism within the healthcare system.

The NHS, as the largest employer in Europe, serves a diverse population and employs a workforce comprising individuals from various ethnic and religious backgrounds. Instances of discrimination, including antisemitism, have been reported within the organisation, prompting calls for independent investigation and systemic change. The recent review was initiated to specifically address concerns regarding antisemitism, aiming to identify its prevalence and recommend measures for mitigation.

Review Scope and Criticisms

The independent review focused exclusively on antisemitism within the NHS. While the specific methodology and findings of the review were not detailed in the public discourse, its narrow scope has been a central point of contention. Minority groups, including those representing Black, Asian, and other ethnic minority staff and patients, have argued that by concentrating solely on antisemitism, the review inadvertently minimises or overlooks other forms of racism that are reportedly widespread within the NHS. These groups contend that a comprehensive understanding of discrimination within the health service necessitates an examination of all forms of prejudice, including anti-Black racism, Islamophobia, and other ethnic or religious biases.

Critics suggest that the experiences of racism are often interconnected and that a fragmented approach to addressing discrimination fails to capture the systemic nature of the problem. For example, some argue that the mechanisms and structures that permit antisemitism may also facilitate other forms of racism, affecting staff recruitment, career

progression, and patient care. The absence of a broader inquiry into these interconnected issues is perceived by some as a failure to reflect the 'reality' of discrimination experienced by a significant portion of the NHS workforce and patient population.

The discourse surrounding the review highlights a perceived disconnect between the specific focus of the investigation and the broader, more complex landscape of racial discrimination within the NHS. While acknowledging the importance of addressing antisemitism, the criticism underscores the need for an integrated strategy that recognises and tackles all forms of racism to foster an equitable and inclusive environment for all individuals within the healthcare system.

The narrow focus of the review has significant clinical implications. When healthcare professionals experience discrimination, it can lead to increased stress, burnout, and reduced job satisfaction. This, in turn, can negatively impact patient care through decreased morale, higher rates of absenteeism, and a potential exodus of skilled staff from the NHS. For patients, an environment where racism is not comprehensively addressed can lead to disparities in access to care, quality of treatment, and overall health outcomes. Patients from minority ethnic backgrounds may face microaggressions, implicit bias, or overt discrimination, which can erode trust in the healthcare system and deter them from seeking necessary medical attention. Therefore, a fragmented approach to addressing racism not only fails to protect staff but also compromises the fundamental principle of equitable patient care.

Recommendations for a Holistic Approach

To effectively address the multifaceted issue of racism within the NHS, a more holistic and integrated approach is imperative. Future investigations should adopt a broader scope, encompassing all forms of discrimination, and acknowledge the intersectionality of various identities. This could involve:

• Comprehensive Data Collection: Implementing robust systems to collect disaggregated data on all forms of discrimination experienced by staff and patients, including antisemitism, anti-Black racism, Islamophobia, and other ethnic and religious biases. This data should be regularly analysed and publicly reported to ensure transparency and accountability.

• Systemic Reviews: Conducting independent, system-wide reviews that examine the underlying structures, policies, and practices within the NHS that may perpetuate or enable various forms of racism. This includes scrutinising recruitment processes, promotion pathways, disciplinary procedures, and patient complaint mechanisms.

• Inclusive Policy Development: Developing and implementing anti-discrimination policies that are co-produced with representatives from all affected minority groups. These policies should be regularly reviewed and updated based on feedback and emerging evidence.

• Mandatory Anti-Racism Training: Introducing comprehensive, mandatory anti-racism training for all NHS staff, from frontline workers to senior leadership. This training should go beyond basic diversity awareness to address unconscious

bias, microaggressions, and the historical and systemic roots of racism.

• Support Mechanisms: Establishing accessible and trusted reporting mechanisms for staff and patients who experience discrimination, alongside robust support services for those affected. This includes independent advocacy and clear pathways for redress.

• Leadership Accountability: Holding senior leadership accountable for fostering an inclusive culture and actively addressing all forms of racism within their respective departments and trusts. Performance metrics related to diversity, equity, and inclusion should be integrated into leadership appraisals.

Ultimately, while the specific review aimed to address antisemitism, the broader discourse it has generated underscores a critical need for the NHS to confront all forms of racism with equal vigour and commitment. Only through a truly comprehensive and integrated strategy can the NHS fulfil its mandate to provide equitable care for all and create a workplace where every individual feels valued, respected, and safe.

CLINICAL IMPLICATIONS

The controversy surrounding the NHS antisemitism review underscores a critical challenge for healthcare leadership: the tendency to address discrimination in silos. When an organisation as vast and complex as the NHS commissions a review, its scope dictates the perceived value and actionable outcomes. A review focused exclusively on one form of prejudice, however valid, risks creating the impression that other forms of discrimination are less important or less prevalent. This can inadvertently alienate other minority groups who feel their experiences of racism are being overlooked or de-prioritised.

For clinicians, this fragmented approach can manifest in a lack of comprehensive training or support mechanisms for addressing all forms of discrimination. If the institutional focus is narrow, the resources allocated to education, reporting, and intervention may also be narrow. This leaves healthcare professionals ill-equipped to recognise and challenge the full spectrum of racist behaviours they may encounter, whether from colleagues, patients, or within systemic processes. A more integrated approach, acknowledging the intersectionality of various forms of racism, would provide a more robust framework for fostering an inclusive clinical environment.

Ultimately, the effectiveness of any anti-discrimination initiative hinges on its perceived legitimacy and comprehensiveness. Patients from diverse backgrounds need to trust that the healthcare system is committed to protecting them from all forms of prejudice, not just those highlighted by specific reviews. Similarly, staff need to feel confident that their experiences of racism, regardless of its specific manifestation, will be taken seriously and addressed systematically. The market for diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI) training and consultancy within healthcare is substantial, but its impact will remain limited if the underlying institutional commitment is perceived as selective rather than holistic.

EDITORIAL & AI STANDARDS

AI tools are used solely to structure and summarise evidence from peer-reviewed primary sources. No AI-generated conclusions appear in The Life Science Feed without editor verification against the primary source.

REFERENCES

1. 1. Naqvi H, Williams RD 2nd, Chinembiri O, Rodger S. Workforce and workplace racism in health systems: organisations are diverse but not inclusive. Lancet. 2022;400(10368):2023-2026. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(22)02395-9

2. 2. Rhead R, Harber-Aschan L, Onwumere J, et al. Ethnic inequalities among NHS staff in England: workplace experiences during the COVID-19 pandemic. Occup Environ Med. 2024;81(3):131-140. doi:10.1136/oemed-2023-108976

3. 3. Priest N, Esmail A, Kline R, et al. Promoting equality for ethnic minority NHS staff—what works? BMJ. 2015;351:h3297. doi:10.1136/bmj.h3297

4. 4. Lord Mann (Independent Adviser on Antisemitism). Lord Mann Review of Antisemitism and Other Forms of Racism in the NHS and Healthcare Regulatory System. UK Government. June 2026. Available at: https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/lord-mann-review-on-antisemitism-and-other-forms-of-racism-in-the-nhs/lord-mann-review-of-antisemitism-ar

5. 5. UK Government. Government Response to the Lord Mann Review into Antisemitism and Other Forms of Racism in the NHS. June 2026. Available at: https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/government-response-to-the-lord-mann-review-on-antisemitism-and-other-forms-of-racism-in-the-nhs/govern

LICENCE & RIGHTS

MEDICAL DISCLAIMER

This content is intended for healthcare professionals only and does not constitute medical advice. Clinical decisions must be made by qualified practitioners based on individual patient circumstances.

FOLLOW THE LIFE SCIENCE FEED

Instagram @lifesciencefeed **LinkedIn** linkedin.com/company/thelifesciencefeed

thelifesciencefeed.com