Beyond HbA1c: What integrated diabetes care really means for organs

Written by The Life Science Feed Editorial Team · Published 24 September 2026 · Edited by Mara Voss

> Diabetes management has long focused on glycemic control, a necessary but often insufficient strategy for mitigating the disease's devastating long-term consequences. Clinicians increasingly recognize that a patient-centered approach, addressing the interconnected health of the heart, kidneys, and liver, offers a more comprehensive path to improved outcomes. This shift acknowledges the systemic nature of diabetes and the critical need to protect vital organs from its pervasive damage.

KEY TAKEAWAYS

- The Pivot: Modern diabetes care emphasizes multi-organ protection beyond mere glycemic targets.
- The Data: No specific trial data is provided, but established guidelines support integrated care.
- The Action: GPs and specialists should integrate cardiovascular, renal, and hepatic risk assessment into routine diabetes management.

Diabetes mellitus, particularly type 2, is a chronic metabolic disorder characterized by elevated blood glucose levels. This persistent hyperglycemia, coupled with insulin resistance and dyslipidemia, initiates a cascade of pathophysiological changes that affect nearly every organ system. The microvascular complications, such as retinopathy, nephropathy, and neuropathy, are well-recognized. But the macrovascular complications, including cardiovascular disease, and the increasing burden on renal and hepatic function, often drive morbidity and mortality. Effective management demands a proactive strategy that anticipates and addresses these interconnected threats, moving beyond a singular focus on HbA1c.

Patients with diabetes face a significantly higher risk of cardiovascular events, including myocardial infarction, stroke, and heart failure. Diabetic nephropathy remains a leading cause of end-stage renal disease, necessitating dialysis or kidney transplantation. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) and its more severe form (NASH) are highly prevalent in people with type 2 diabetes, often progressing silently to cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma. These complications do not occur in isolation; they frequently coexist, creating a complex web of interdependent pathologies that complicate treatment and worsen prognosis. A truly patient-based approach therefore requires an understanding of these interrelationships and a coordinated effort to preserve organ function.

The Relationship of Heart, Kidney, and Liver in Diabetes

The cardiovascular system bears a substantial burden in diabetes. Chronic hyperglycemia contributes to endothelial dysfunction, accelerated atherosclerosis, and increased oxidative stress, all of which predispose to coronary artery disease and peripheral artery disease. Diabetic cardiomyopathy, a distinct entity, can develop independently of hypertension

or coronary artery disease, leading to heart failure with preserved or reduced ejection fraction. The mechanisms involve metabolic derangements, inflammation, and fibrosis within the myocardium. Managing cardiovascular risk in diabetes thus involves aggressive control of traditional risk factors like hypertension and dyslipidemia, alongside specific glucose-lowering agents that offer cardioprotective benefits.

Renal complications are equally pervasive. Diabetic nephropathy progresses through stages, beginning with microalbuminuria and advancing to overt proteinuria, declining glomerular filtration rate (GFR), and eventually kidney failure. The underlying pathology involves glomerular hypertrophy, mesangial expansion, thickening of the glomerular basement membrane, and tubulointerstitial fibrosis. Renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system (RAAS) inhibitors, such as ACE inhibitors and angiotensin receptor blockers (ARBs), form the cornerstone of renoprotective therapy, particularly in patients with albuminuria. But newer agents also demonstrate significant kidney-protective effects, altering the natural history of diabetic kidney disease. Regular monitoring of albuminuria and GFR is essential for early detection and intervention, as outlined in major guidelines.

The liver, often overlooked in the traditional diabetes narrative, is a critical player. NAFLD is now considered the hepatic manifestation of metabolic syndrome, with insulin resistance being a central driver. It ranges from simple steatosis to NASH, which carries a significant risk of progression to advanced fibrosis, cirrhosis, and liver cancer. The prevalence of NAFLD in type 2 diabetes is strikingly high, affecting a majority of patients. While lifestyle modifications, including diet and exercise, are fundamental, specific pharmacological interventions are emerging that address both glycemic control and hepatic steatosis. The close metabolic link between the liver and systemic insulin sensitivity means that improving liver health can have positive ripple effects on overall diabetes management. For a deeper dive into the metabolic aspects of diabetes, the Oxford Handbook of Endocrinology and Diabetes offers a practical reference.

The interconnectedness of these organ systems means that damage in one often precipitates or exacerbates dysfunction in another. For example, chronic kidney disease (CKD) significantly increases cardiovascular risk, and heart failure can worsen renal function (cardiorenal syndrome). Similarly, advanced liver disease can impact glucose metabolism and complicate the management of both cardiac and renal conditions. This complex relationship highlights the need for a holistic, integrated approach to patient care, rather than treating each organ in isolation. Clinicians must consider the full spectrum of potential complications when devising a treatment plan for a patient with diabetes, aiming for therapies that offer multi-organ protection.

Current Standards of Care and Unmet Needs

Current guidelines for diabetes management advocate for individualized glycemic targets, but they also increasingly emphasize the importance of managing cardiovascular and renal risk factors. Metformin remains the first-line pharmacological agent for most patients with type 2 diabetes, primarily due to its efficacy in lowering glucose, its favorable safety profile, and its potential cardiovascular benefits. Beyond metformin, the choice of additional glucose-lowering agents is now heavily influenced by the presence of established cardiovascular disease, heart failure, or chronic kidney disease. This represents a significant evolution from older guidelines that focused almost exclusively on HbA1c reduction.

Sodium-glucose co-transporter 2 (SGLT2) inhibitors and glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists (GLP-1 RAs) have emerged as cornerstone therapies due to their demonstrated benefits beyond glycemic control. SGLT2 inhibitors reduce the risk of major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE), heart failure hospitalizations, and progression of kidney disease

in a broad range of patients with type 2 diabetes, even those without established cardiovascular disease. GLP-1 RAs also reduce MACE and offer benefits for weight management, which is particularly relevant given the high prevalence of obesity in diabetes. These drug classes have fundamentally reshaped treatment algorithms, moving them towards a more organ-protective paradigm. Still, access and adherence remain significant challenges for many patients.

Despite these advances, significant unmet needs persist. Many patients still progress to advanced stages of cardiovascular, renal, or hepatic disease despite receiving guideline-directed medical therapy. The early identification of patients at highest risk for these complications remains a challenge, often requiring sophisticated screening tools that are not routinely available in primary care. Polypharmacy is common in patients with long-standing diabetes and multiple comorbidities, increasing the risk of adverse drug interactions and reducing adherence. Simplifying treatment regimens while maintaining efficacy and safety is a continuous goal. The integration of technology, such as continuous glucose monitoring, has shown promise in improving glycemic control and reducing hypoglycemia, as discussed in our coverage of CGM in type 2 diabetes on dialysis.

The management of NAFLD and NASH in diabetes is a particularly challenging area. While weight loss and lifestyle modifications are important for health, effective pharmacological treatments specifically for NASH are still limited. Many agents are under investigation, but none have yet received widespread approval for this indication. This leaves a significant gap in care for a rapidly growing patient population at risk of progressive liver disease. The need for therapies that can halt or reverse hepatic fibrosis in patients with diabetes and NASH is urgent. Clinicians often find themselves managing the metabolic drivers of NAFLD without a direct therapeutic option for the liver pathology itself, highlighting a critical area for future research and development.

Implementing a Patient-Based Approach

A patient-based approach to diabetes management necessitates a comprehensive assessment of individual risk factors, comorbidities, and patient preferences. This begins with a thorough clinical history and physical examination, focusing on signs and symptoms of cardiovascular, renal, and hepatic dysfunction. Regular laboratory monitoring is paramount, including HbA1c, lipid panel, kidney function tests (serum creatinine, eGFR, urine albumin-to-creatinine ratio), and liver function tests (ALT, AST, alkaline phosphatase, bilirubin). For patients with suspected NAFLD, non-invasive fibrosis assessment tools, such as FIB-4 scores or transient elastography, can help stratify risk and guide referral to hepatology specialists.

Education plays a central role in empowering patients to take an active role in their care. This includes understanding their condition, the importance of medication adherence, and the benefits of lifestyle modifications. Dietary counseling, regular physical activity, and smoking cessation are foundational elements of diabetes management that can significantly impact cardiovascular, renal, and hepatic health. Tailoring these recommendations to individual patient circumstances, cultural preferences, and socioeconomic factors is key to achieving sustainable changes. The role of exercise, for instance, can be complicated by factors like metformin use, as explored in our article on Metformin and Exercise.

Multidisciplinary care teams, involving diabetologists, cardiologists, nephrologists, hepatologists, dietitians, and diabetes educators, are increasingly recognized as essential for optimizing outcomes in complex patients. This collaborative model ensures that all aspects of a patient's health are addressed, and treatment plans are coordinated to avoid conflicting therapies or missed opportunities for intervention. Regular communication among team members is vital to ensure a cohesive approach. For instance, a patient with diabetes, heart failure, and CKD requires careful titration

of medications that impact all three systems, balancing benefits and risks across organ domains. This integrated approach is a hallmark of modern chronic disease management.

The choice of glucose-lowering therapy should always consider the broader impact on organ health. For patients with established atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease or high cardiovascular risk, an SGLT2 inhibitor or GLP-1 RA with proven cardiovascular benefit should be prioritized, irrespective of HbA1c targets. Similarly, for patients with CKD, SGLT2 inhibitors are strongly recommended to slow disease progression and reduce cardiovascular events. While no specific drug is approved for NASH, some GLP-1 RAs have shown promise in reducing liver fat and inflammation. The goal is to select therapies that offer the most comprehensive protection for the individual patient, aligning with their specific risk profile and comorbidities. This requires a deep understanding of each drug class's pleiotropic effects, which can be found in comprehensive references like Harrison's Principles of Internal Medicine.

The real challenge lies in translating these guideline recommendations into routine clinical practice. Time constraints in primary care, lack of specialist access, and patient adherence issues often hinder optimal management. Simplifying treatment algorithms and providing clear, actionable guidance for GPs are necessary steps. Addressing social determinants of health and ensuring equitable access to effective therapies and supportive care are fundamental to improving outcomes for all patients with diabetes. The focus must remain on the patient as a whole, not just their glucose numbers, recognizing that their heart, kidneys, and liver are inextricably linked.

The long-term implications of diabetes on these vital organs necessitate a proactive, preventive mindset. Early intervention, even before overt symptoms appear, can significantly alter the trajectory of disease progression. This includes screening for microalbuminuria, assessing cardiovascular risk scores, and considering non-invasive liver assessments in at-risk populations. The emphasis on prevention and early management is not just about extending life, but about preserving quality of life, reducing the burden of complications, and minimizing the need for costly and invasive procedures like dialysis or transplantation. This holistic view represents the future of diabetes care, moving beyond reactive treatment to proactive health preservation.

CLINICAL IMPLICATIONS

The era of managing diabetes solely by HbA1c is over. Clinicians must now think beyond glucose, prioritizing therapies that offer demonstrable benefits for the heart, kidneys, and liver. This means a more aggressive adoption of SGLT2 inhibitors and GLP-1 RAs, even when glycemic control is already adequate with metformin alone. Ignoring these broader organ-protective effects is a disservice to patients, leaving them vulnerable to preventable complications.

The challenge for general practitioners lies in integrating these complex considerations into routine consultations. It requires a shift in mindset from simply lowering blood sugar to actively mitigating multi-organ damage. Screening for early signs of nephropathy and NAFLD should be as routine as checking blood pressure. The increasing prevalence of NAFLD in diabetes means that liver health can no longer be an afterthought; it demands proactive assessment and management, even if specific pharmacological options are still evolving.

For the pharmaceutical industry, the focus must continue to be on developing agents with pleiotropic benefits that address the interconnected pathologies of diabetes. Drugs that offer simultaneous protection for the heart, kidneys, and liver will be the most valuable. But the industry also needs to ensure these therapies

> are accessible and affordable, as the most effective treatments are useless if patients cannot obtain them or adhere to them long-term.
> The patient-based approach means empowering individuals with diabetes to understand their risks and participate actively in their care. This requires clear communication from healthcare providers about the importance of lifestyle modifications and adherence to complex medication regimens. It is about fostering a partnership that extends beyond the clinic visit, aiming for sustained health across all vital organ systems, not just a number on a lab report.

EDITORIAL & AI STANDARDS

AI tools are used to structure and summarise evidence from peer-reviewed primary sources. Articles that carry a signed Editor's take have been read in full by William Lopes. The others are not individually read by an editor, so check the cited source before relying on a figure.

REFERENCES

1. 1. Churuangsuk C, Hall J, Reynolds A, Griffin SJ, Combet E, Lean MEJ. Diets for weight management in adults with type 2 diabetes: an umbrella review of published meta-analyses and systematic review of trials of diets for diabetes remission. Diabetologia. 2022;65(1):14-36. doi:10.1007/s00125-021-05577-2
2. 2. Toi PL, Anothaisintawee T, Chaikledkaew U, Briones JR, Reutrakul S, Thakkinstian A. Preventive Role of Diet Interventions and Dietary Factors in Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus: An Umbrella Review. Nutrients. 2020;12(9). doi:10.3390/nu12092722
3. 3. Wang L, Li L, Liu J, et al. Associated factors and principal pathophysiological mechanisms of type 2 diabetes mellitus. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne). 2025;16:1499565. doi:10.3389/fendo.2025.1499565
4. 4. Whiteley C, Benton F, Matwiejczyk L, Luscombe-Marsh N. Determining Dietary Patterns to Recommend for Type 2 Diabetes: An Umbrella Review. Nutrients. 2023;15(4). doi:10.3390/nu15040861
5. 5. Milenkovic T, Bozhinovska N, Macut D, et al. Mediterranean Diet and Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus: A Perpetual Inspiration for the Scientific World. A Review. Nutrients. 2021;13(4). doi:10.3390/nu13041307
6. 6. Poon ET, Li HY, Kong APS, Little JP. Efficacy of high-intensity interval training in individuals with type 2 diabetes mellitus: An umbrella review of systematic reviews and meta-analyses. Diabetes Obes Metab. 2025;27(4):1719-1734. doi:10.1111/dom.16220

LICENCE & RIGHTS

© 2026 The Life Science Feed. All rights reserved. This content is produced for medical education purposes. It may not be reproduced, distributed, or transmitted without prior written permission from The Life Science Feed.

MEDICAL DISCLAIMER

This content is intended for healthcare professionals only and does not constitute medical advice. Clinical decisions must be made by qualified practitioners based on individual patient circumstances.

FOLLOW THE LIFE SCIENCE FEED

Instagram @lifesciencefeed **LinkedIn** linkedin.com/company/thelifesciencefeed

thelifesciencefeed.com