Central sleep apnea: Why aren't we using ASV more?

Written by The Life Science Feed Editorial Team · Published 6 September 2026 · Edited by Mara Voss

Central sleep apnea (CSA) presents a complex challenge in respiratory medicine, characterized by recurrent cessation of breathing during sleep due to a lack of respiratory effort from the brain. Unlike obstructive sleep apnea, where a physical blockage is the culprit, CSA stems from a dysfunction in the central nervous system's control of breathing. This distinction is important for effective management, as therapies designed for obstructive sleep apnea often prove ineffective or even detrimental in CSA.

Auto-servo ventilation (ASV) emerged as a targeted therapeutic approach for CSA, designed to stabilize breathing patterns by providing adaptive ventilatory support. Despite its inclusion in clinical guidelines, the integration of ASV into routine practice for all eligible patients has not been uniform, highlighting a persistent gap between evidence-based recommendations and real-world application.

KEY TAKEAWAYS

- The Pivot: ASV therapy offers a dynamic, adaptive ventilatory support system specifically designed to address the fluctuating respiratory drive seen in central sleep apnea.
- The Data: ASV effectively reduces the apnea-hypopnea index (AHI) and improves sleep architecture in patients with central sleep apnea, leading to better oxygenation and reduced daytime somnolence.
- The Action: Clinicians should carefully evaluate patients with suspected central sleep apnea, considering ASV as a primary therapeutic option, particularly in those with complex or treatment-emergent CSA, while adhering to established contraindications.

Central sleep apnea is a heterogeneous disorder, encompassing various etiologies including idiopathic CSA, high-altitude periodic breathing, complex sleep apnea (CompSAS), and CSA associated with congestive heart failure (CHF). The common thread is the instability of ventilatory control, leading to periods of apnea or hypopnea without upper airway obstruction. This instability often manifests as Cheyne-Stokes breathing, a waxing and waning pattern of respiration, particularly prevalent in patients with heart failure. The physiological consequences extend beyond fragmented sleep, contributing to sympathetic activation, increased cardiovascular strain, and impaired quality of life.

The therapeutic market for CSA has evolved, moving beyond supplemental oxygen and fixed-pressure devices. ASV therapy represents a significant advancement, offering a form of positive airway pressure that continuously monitors the patient's breathing and adjusts pressure support to normalize ventilation. It provides a minimum pressure to maintain airway patency and then delivers variable pressure support to stabilize breathing, preventing both apneas and hyperventilation. This adaptive nature distinguishes it from conventional CPAP or BiPAP, which deliver fixed or less dynamic pressure settings. For a deeper understanding of sleep diagnostic advancements, clinicians might find our coverage on AI and wearables in sleep diagnostics relevant.

Understanding the ASV Mechanism

ASV devices operate on a sophisticated algorithm that detects central apneas and hypopneas, then responds by delivering a breath to stimulate respiration. The device learns the patient's normal breathing pattern and intervenes only when breathing falls below a predetermined threshold or ceases entirely. This servo-controlled mechanism aims to eliminate respiratory events and stabilize the partial pressure of carbon dioxide, thereby breaking the cycle of ventilatory instability. The goal is not merely to open an airway, but to regulate the central respiratory drive itself. This makes ASV particularly suited for conditions where the respiratory drive is inherently unstable, such as in Cheyne-Stokes respiration.

The device typically delivers an expiratory positive airway pressure (EPAP) to maintain airway patency, similar to CPAP, but then adds an inspiratory positive airway pressure (IPAP) that varies breath-by-breath. The difference between IPAP and EPAP, known as pressure support, is modulated by the ASV algorithm. If the patient's own breathing effort is insufficient, the device increases pressure support to ensure adequate ventilation. If the patient hyperventilates, the pressure support is reduced. This dynamic adjustment is what allows ASV to effectively manage the complex and fluctuating respiratory patterns characteristic of CSA. The ability of ASV to provide this adaptive support is a key differentiator from other PAP therapies, which often struggle with the inherent variability of central apneas.

Patient Selection and Guideline Recommendations

Current clinical guidelines generally recommend ASV for patients with symptomatic CSA, particularly those with Cheyne-Stokes breathing associated with heart failure, idiopathic CSA, or treatment-emergent central sleep apnea (CompSAS). CompSAS, a condition where central apneas develop or worsen during CPAP therapy for obstructive sleep apnea, is a common indication where ASV has shown particular utility. The guidelines emphasize careful patient selection, as not all forms of CSA respond equally to ASV, and some patient populations require specific considerations. For instance, while ASV is effective in many patients with heart failure and CSA, its use in patients with severe systolic heart failure (ejection fraction <45%) has been a point of caution, following some historical data that raised concerns about adverse cardiovascular outcomes in this specific subgroup.

Before initiating ASV, a comprehensive sleep study (polysomnography) is essential to accurately diagnose CSA and differentiate it from obstructive sleep apnea. This diagnostic clarity is paramount, as misdiagnosis can lead to inappropriate therapy. The severity of CSA, as measured by the apnea-hypopnea index (AHI), and the presence of associated symptoms such as excessive daytime sleepiness, fatigue, and impaired quality of life, all factor into the decision to initiate ASV. The guidelines also stress the importance of addressing underlying conditions, such as optimizing heart failure management, as part of a holistic approach to CSA treatment. For general practitioners needing a quick reference on clinical management, the Oxford Handbook of Clinical Medicine offers concise guidance across various conditions, including sleep disorders.

Clinical Efficacy and Outcomes

ASV therapy consistently demonstrates efficacy in reducing the AHI in patients with CSA. Studies show that ASV can normalize breathing patterns, leading to significant reductions in the number of central apneas and hypopneas per hour of sleep. This improvement in respiratory stability translates into better sleep architecture, with increased slow-wave sleep and REM sleep, and reduced sleep fragmentation. Patients often report improvements in subjective symptoms, including reduced daytime sleepiness, improved concentration, and enhanced quality of life. The objective measures

of sleep quality, such as sleep efficiency and arousal index, also show favorable changes with ASV use. The impact on oxygenation is also notable, with ASV effectively mitigating nocturnal desaturations and improving mean oxygen saturation levels.

Beyond immediate sleep parameters, ASV has been investigated for its potential long-term benefits, particularly in cardiovascular outcomes for patients with heart failure. While some early concerns arose regarding its use in severe systolic heart failure, the broader evidence base supports its role in improving sleep and respiratory stability in other CSA populations. The therapy's ability to reduce sympathetic tone and improve cardiac function in some patients with heart failure and CSA has been observed, though the direct impact on hard cardiovascular endpoints remains an area of ongoing research and careful clinical interpretation. The overall picture suggests that ASV is a powerful tool for managing the respiratory aspects of CSA, with downstream benefits for patient well-being. Clinicians should also consider how simple screening questions can help identify patients who might benefit from further sleep evaluation.

Challenges and Adherence

Despite its proven efficacy, ASV therapy faces challenges in clinical practice, particularly concerning patient adherence. Like other forms of positive airway pressure therapy, ASV requires consistent nightly use to achieve its full benefits. Factors influencing adherence include mask discomfort, noise from the device, dry mouth, and claustrophobia. Proper mask fitting, patient education, and ongoing support are essential to optimize adherence rates. Some patients also find the dynamic pressure changes of ASV initially unsettling, requiring a period of acclimatization. The cost of the device and ongoing consumables can also be a barrier in some healthcare systems, though this varies significantly by region.

Another challenge lies in the accurate diagnosis and phenotyping of CSA. The distinction between primary CSA, CompSAS, and CSA secondary to other medical conditions (e.g., opioid use) is important for tailoring therapy.

While ASV is broadly applicable, understanding the underlying pathophysiology can help manage expectations and address co-morbidities more effectively. The complexity of interpreting sleep studies and the need for specialized expertise in sleep medicine can also contribute to the gap between guideline recommendations and widespread clinical implementation. This highlights the need for continued education and training for clinicians involved in the diagnosis and management of sleep disorders. The AF-CARE pathway for AFib management, for example, shows how structured approaches can improve guideline adherence.

Where it Falls Short

The primary caveat with ASV remains its specific application in patients with severe, symptomatic chronic heart failure with reduced ejection fraction (HFrEF) and predominant central sleep apnea. While ASV generally improves sleep and respiratory parameters, some studies have raised concerns about its impact on cardiovascular mortality in this specific, fragile population. This has led to a cautious approach in guidelines, recommending careful consideration and individualized risk-benefit assessment before initiating ASV in these patients. The mechanism for this potential adverse effect is not fully elucidated but may involve changes in intrathoracic pressure or sympathetic activation. This specific subgroup highlights that even highly effective therapies require precise patient selection. The long-term cardiovascular outcomes in other CSA populations, beyond severe HFrEF, continue to be an area of active investigation, and more definitive data would solidify ASV's broader role in cardiovascular risk reduction. The question of whether ASV can

truly alter the natural history of cardiovascular disease in all CSA patients, or primarily serves as a symptomatic and respiratory stabilizer, remains an important area for future research.

CLINICAL IMPLICATIONS

The persistent gap between ASV guidelines and clinical practice for central sleep apnea is not a failure of the therapy, but often a reflection of diagnostic complexity and patient selection. Clinicians must move beyond a simplistic view of sleep apnea and embrace the detailed phenotyping required to identify true CSA, especially distinguishing it from obstructive forms. Misapplication of CPAP to central apneas is not just ineffective, it delays appropriate treatment.

For patients with symptomatic CSA, particularly those with Cheyne-Stokes breathing or treatment-emergent central apneas, ASV remains a powerful tool. Its adaptive nature directly addresses the underlying ventilatory instability. But, the historical concerns regarding severe systolic heart failure patients mean that a blanket recommendation is inappropriate; careful individual assessment and shared decision-making are paramount for this specific subgroup.

The industry needs to continue innovating not just in device technology, but in user-friendliness and adherence support. A device that sits unused is clinically worthless. Education for both clinicians and patients on the distinct mechanism and benefits of ASV, alongside practical strategies for mask comfort and compliance, will be key to bridging the current practice chasm. The value of ASV is clear when applied to the right patient, but the 'right patient' is a more precise definition than many initially assume.

EDITORIAL & AI STANDARDS

AI tools are used solely to structure and summarise evidence from peer-reviewed primary sources. No AI-generated conclusions appear in The Life Science Feed without editor verification against the primary source.

REFERENCES

1. 1. Javaheri S, Badr MS. Central sleep apnea: pathophysiologic classification. Sleep. 2023;46(3). doi:10.1093/sleep/zsac113
2. 2. Ginter G, Badr MS. Central sleep apnea. Handb Clin Neurol. 2022;189:93-103. doi:10.1016/B978-0-323-91532-8.00011-2
3. 3. Ishikawa O, Oks M. Central Sleep Apnea. Clin Geriatr Med. 2021;37(3):469-481. doi:10.1016/j.cger.2021.04.009
4. 4. Badr MS, Khayat RN, Allam JS, et al. Treatment of central sleep apnea in adults: an American Academy of Sleep Medicine systematic review, meta-analysis, and GRADE assessment. J Clin Sleep Med. 2025;21(12):2213-2236. doi:10.5664/jcsm.11860
5. 5. Badr MS, Khayat RN, Allam JS, et al. Treatment of central sleep apnea in adults: an American Academy of Sleep Medicine clinical practice guideline. J Clin Sleep Med. 2025;21(12):2181-2191. doi:10.5664/jcsm.11858
6. 6. Menon T, Kalra DK. Sleep Apnea and Heart Failure-Current State-of-The-Art. Int J Mol Sci. 2024;25(10). doi:10.3390/ijms25105251

LICENCE & RIGHTS

MEDICAL DISCLAIMER