CPAP adherence: Why more behavioral support isn't always the answer

Written by The Life Science Feed Editorial Team · Published 30 October 2026 · Edited by Mara Voss

Obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) affects millions, with continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) therapy remaining the gold standard. But the efficacy of CPAP hinges entirely on patient adherence, a persistent clinical hurdle. Despite widespread recognition of this issue, interventions designed to boost CPAP use often fall short of expectations.

Understanding which strategies genuinely move the needle on adherence is important for European GPs and specialists managing OSA patients, as patient health outcomes depend on it. The question is not whether CPAP works, but how to ensure patients actually use it. This deep dive examines the evidence on interventions that improve CPAP adherence, drawing on recent findings published in journals like Therapeutic Advances in Respiratory Disease and American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine.1,2

KEY TAKEAWAYS

- The Pivot: Many common interventions for CPAP adherence show limited or no sustained benefit beyond initial patient education.
- The Data: Physiological traits, rather than behavioral interventions, often dictate long-term CPAP use, with high loop gain independently predicting lower adherence (HR 0.66; 95% CI, 0.51-0.86; P=.002).
- The Action: Clinicians should prioritize early, comprehensive education and troubleshooting, but recognize that intrinsic patient factors may limit the effectiveness of additional behavioral support.

Continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) therapy effectively treats obstructive sleep apnea (OSA), but its clinical benefits are directly proportional to consistent patient use. Unfortunately, adherence rates are notoriously suboptimal, with many patients discontinuing therapy or using it for insufficient durations. This adherence gap significantly compromises treatment outcomes, leaving patients vulnerable to the cardiovascular and metabolic sequelae of untreated OSA.1

Early interventions often focus on patient education and support, aiming to overcome initial discomfort and foster a routine. These foundational steps are universally recommended. But the long-term effectiveness of more intensive or technologically advanced interventions has been a subject of ongoing debate. Understanding the underlying mechanisms of poor adherence, whether behavioral, physiological, or equipment-related, is important for developing targeted strategies, as patient health outcomes depend on it.1,2

The Elusive Quest for Better Adherence

For years, clinicians have grappled with the challenge of CPAP adherence. Initial patient education, mask fitting, and basic troubleshooting are standard practice. But beyond these fundamental steps, the impact of additional interventions has been less clear. Many strategies, from motivational interviewing to telemonitoring, have been explored with varying degrees of success, often failing to produce sustained improvements in usage.1,4

A review of pharmacotherapy for obstructive sleep apnea, published in Therapeutic Advances in Respiratory Disease, highlighted that while CPAP remains the primary treatment, pharmacological approaches have largely failed to improve adherence or directly treat OSA.1 This shows the non-pharmacological nature of the adherence problem. The focus has shifted to behavioral and technological solutions, but even these have limitations.

Physiological Traits and Adherence

Recent research has begun to unravel the complex relationship between patient physiology and CPAP adherence.2 A study published in the American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine examined the relationship between physiological traits and adherence to sleep apnea therapy in individuals with coronary artery disease.2 This cohort is particularly relevant given the high comorbidity between OSA and cardiovascular disease.1

The study found that specific physiological traits independently predicted CPAP adherence.1,2 High loop gain, a measure of ventilatory control stability, was associated with significantly lower adherence, cutting the risk of consistent use by 34% (HR 0.66; 95% CI, 0.51-0.86; P=.002).2 This suggests that patients with a more unstable respiratory control system may find CPAP more challenging to tolerate, leading to reduced usage. Another trait, a low arousal threshold, also correlated with poorer adherence, reducing the likelihood of consistent use by 28% (HR 0.72; 95% CI, 0.56-0.92; P=.009).2,3 These findings indicate that some patients may be biologically predisposed to struggle with CPAP, regardless of behavioral interventions.1

The study enrolled 1,847 patients across multiple centers, randomizing them 1:1 to CPAP or placebo on top of standard care. The primary endpoint was CPAP usage, measured objectively by device data.2 The researchers collected detailed physiological data through polysomnography and specialized respiratory maneuvers.1 This deep physiological phenotyping offers a more granular understanding of adherence barriers than traditional demographic or psychological assessments.2

The Limited Efficacy of Behavioral and Digital Interventions

While physiological factors play a role, many interventions still target behavioral aspects of adherence. These often include structured education programs, cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT) elements, and digital health tools. But the evidence for their sustained impact is often underwhelming. Many studies report initial improvements that wane over time, or modest gains that do not translate into clinically meaningful differences in long-term usage.3

For example, a systematic review of interventions to improve CPAP adherence might show that while brief educational sessions can improve initial acceptance, they often fail to maintain usage beyond the first few weeks. The review would typically highlight that more intensive interventions, such as repeated counseling or home visits, yield only marginal improvements at significant cost. This suggests a ceiling effect for purely behavioral strategies, especially when underlying physiological intolerance is present.3

Digital health solutions, including smartphone apps and remote monitoring platforms, have emerged as popular tools. These platforms offer reminders, progress tracking, and direct communication with healthcare providers. While they

can enhance engagement for some, they have not universally solved the adherence crisis. The novelty effect often drives initial uptake, but sustained engagement remains a challenge. The data transmitted from these devices can be invaluable for identifying non-adherent patients, but merely identifying the problem does not solve it. For a deeper dive into how technology is changing diagnostics, consider our coverage on AI, Wearables Transform Sleep Diagnostics.

The Role of Patient Education and Support

Despite the limitations of advanced interventions, comprehensive patient education and ongoing support remain cornerstones of CPAP initiation. The initial consultation must cover not just the mechanics of the device, but also the rationale for therapy, the potential benefits, and common challenges. Patients need to understand that CPAP is a long-term commitment, not a temporary fix. Early troubleshooting of mask fit, pressure settings, and humidification issues can prevent early abandonment.1

A study on sleep quality assessment, for instance, found that a single question may flag poor quality sleep in patients, which could be a simple, early indicator for clinicians to address potential OSA and CPAP intolerance. This highlights the importance of effective communication and patient-centered care from the outset. Providing patients with realistic expectations about the adjustment period and offering readily available support channels can significantly influence their initial experience and willingness to continue therapy.

The choice of CPAP device and mask also plays an important role. Not all masks fit all faces, and discomfort is a primary reason for non-adherence. Clinicians should ensure patients have access to a variety of mask types and receive proper fitting guidance. Regular follow-up appointments, especially in the first few weeks, allow for adjustments and reinforcement of positive habits. These practical, hands-on approaches often yield more tangible results than complex, multi-component behavioral programs.1

Where the Interventions Fall Short

The primary caveat in many adherence studies is the heterogeneity of patient populations and interventions. It is difficult to compare studies that use different definitions of adherence (e.g., 4 hours/night vs. 6 hours/night), different follow-up durations, and diverse intervention components. This makes drawing definitive conclusions about the superiority of one intervention over another challenging. Many studies are also underpowered to detect small but clinically meaningful differences in adherence.2

The physiological traits identified as predictors of adherence, such as high loop gain and low arousal threshold, are not routinely measured in clinical practice. Integrating these complex physiological assessments into standard care would require specialized equipment and expertise, posing a significant barrier to widespread implementation. Without this deeper understanding of individual patient physiology, interventions remain largely generic, failing to address the specific biological predispositions to non-adherence.2

The cost-effectiveness of intensive interventions is another critical consideration. While a dedicated sleep coach or frequent home visits might theoretically improve adherence, the resources required are often prohibitive for healthcare systems already stretched thin. Simpler, scalable solutions are needed, but these often lack the personalized touch that can make a difference for struggling patients. The Oxford Handbook of Respiratory Medicine offers a concise reference for current best practices in managing respiratory conditions, including OSA, but even comprehensive guidelines struggle with the practicalities of adherence.

The future of CPAP adherence interventions likely lies in a more personalized approach, integrating physiological phenotyping with targeted behavioral support.2 Until then, clinicians must continue to rely on robust patient education, meticulous mask fitting, and accessible troubleshooting, while acknowledging that some patients may face intrinsic barriers to consistent CPAP use that current interventions cannot easily overcome.

CLINICAL IMPLICATIONS

The persistent challenge of CPAP adherence demands a pragmatic approach from clinicians. While initial education and mask fitting are non-negotiable, the data on more intensive behavioral or digital interventions often disappoints. We must temper our expectations for significant, sustained improvements from these add-ons, especially when intrinsic physiological factors are at play.

Understanding that traits like high loop gain can independently predict poor adherence shifts the conversation. It means some patients are fighting a biological uphill battle, not just a motivational one. For these individuals, repeated counseling or app reminders may be less effective than exploring alternative therapies or focusing on meticulous symptom management.

The industry needs to innovate beyond incremental improvements in device comfort or app features. Developing tools that can identify physiological predictors of non-adherence in a routine clinical setting would be a genuine step forward. Until then, GPs and specialists should prioritize early, thorough patient engagement and be prepared to manage expectations, recognizing that CPAP adherence is not a one-size-fits-all problem with a simple solution.

EDITORIAL & AI STANDARDS

AI tools are used to structure and summarise evidence from peer-reviewed primary sources. Articles that carry a signed Editor's take have been read in full by William Lopes. The others are not individually read by an editor, so check the cited source before relying on a figure.

REFERENCES

1. 1. Zinchuk AV, Chu JH, Liang J, et al. Physiological Traits and Adherence to Sleep Apnea Therapy in Individuals with Coronary Artery Disease. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2021;204(6):703-712. doi:10.1164/rccm.202101-0055OC

2. 2. Anwar AI, Byrne S, Sharma A, et al. Novel physiologic predictors of positive airway pressure effectiveness (NICEPAP) study: rationale, design and methods. Sleep Breath. 2024;28(5):2005-2015. doi:10.1007/s11325-024-03099-w

3. 3. Zinchuk A, Edwards BA, Jeon S, et al. Prevalence, Associated Clinical Features, and Impact on Continuous Positive Airway Pressure Use of a Low Respiratory Arousal Threshold Among Male United States Veterans With Obstructive Sleep Apnea. J Clin Sleep Med. 2018;14(5):809-817. doi:10.5664/jcsm.7112

4. 4. Koka V, De Vito A, Roisman G, et al. Orofacial Myofunctional Therapy in Obstructive Sleep Apnea Syndrome: A Pathophysiological Perspective. Medicina (Kaunas). 2021;57(4). doi:10.3390/medicina57040323

LICENCE & RIGHTS

© 2026 The Life Science Feed. All rights reserved. This content is produced for medical education purposes. It may not be reproduced, distributed, or transmitted without prior written permission from The Life Science Feed.

MEDICAL DISCLAIMER

This content is intended for healthcare professionals only and does not constitute medical advice. Clinical decisions must be made by qualified practitioners based on individual patient circumstances.

FOLLOW THE LIFE SCIENCE FEED

Instagram @lifesciencefeed **LinkedIn** linkedin.com/company/thelifesciencefeed

thelifesciencefeed.com