

Iron chelation in gene therapy: When to stop, and how to monitor

Written by The Life Science Feed Editorial Team · Edited by Mara Voss

Patients with chronic transfusion-dependent anemias, such as beta-thalassemia, face a lifelong battle against iron overload. This accumulation, a direct consequence of repeated transfusions, necessitates aggressive iron chelation therapy to prevent organ damage. But with the advent of gene therapies offering the promise of transfusion independence, the clinical market for these patients is shifting dramatically.

The fundamental question now confronting clinicians is not merely how to manage iron overload, but when to stop chelation and how to monitor iron status in patients who achieve transfusion independence through gene therapy. This represents a significant departure from established protocols, demanding a re-evaluation of current practices.

KEY TAKEAWAYS

- **The Pivot:** Gene therapies for transfusion-dependent anemias are creating a new patient population that may achieve transfusion independence, altering the long-term management of iron overload.
- **The Data:** No specific trial data is available yet for chelation cessation in gene therapy patients, necessitating reliance on established guidelines for non-transfusion-dependent iron overload.
- **The Action:** Clinicians should individualize chelation cessation based on iron burden, monitor closely with serum ferritin and MRI T2*, and be prepared for potential re-initiation if iron re-accumulates.

Chronic transfusion therapy, while life-saving for conditions like beta-thalassemia, inevitably leads to systemic iron overload. Each unit of packed red blood cells contains approximately 200 to 250 mg of iron, which the body has no physiological mechanism to excrete efficiently. This excess iron deposits in vital organs, including the heart, liver, and endocrine glands, leading to progressive organ dysfunction and increased mortality. Iron chelation therapy has been the cornerstone of management, using agents like deferoxamine, deferiprone, and deferasirox to bind and excrete excess iron, thereby mitigating organ damage.

The goal of chelation therapy has always been to maintain a safe iron balance, typically targeting serum ferritin levels below 1000 ng/mL and preventing cardiac iron accumulation, which is the leading cause of death in these patients. But these goals were established within the context of ongoing transfusions. Gene therapies, such as those targeting beta-thalassemia, aim to correct the underlying genetic defect, allowing patients to produce their own functional hemoglobin and, importantly, become transfusion independent. This success fundamentally alters the iron kinetics in these individuals, moving them from a state of chronic iron loading to one where iron intake is significantly reduced or eliminated.

The Shifting Guidelines of Iron Management

The transition to transfusion independence following successful gene therapy presents a unique challenge: when and how to safely discontinue iron chelation. For decades, chelation protocols have been designed for patients with continuous iron loading. The decision to stop chelation in a patient who no longer receives transfusions is not straightforward, as residual iron burden may persist for years. The body's natural iron regulatory mechanisms, while improved, may still be insufficient to clear all pre-existing iron deposits.

Current guidelines for iron chelation, primarily developed for transfusion-dependent patients, do not explicitly address cessation in the context of gene therapy-induced transfusion independence. Clinicians must therefore extrapolate from existing knowledge regarding iron overload in non-transfusion-dependent settings, such as hereditary hemochromatosis, but even this analogy is imperfect. Patients achieving transfusion independence via gene therapy often have a history of severe, long-standing iron overload, which is distinct from the more gradual accumulation seen in hereditary hemochromatosis.

Monitoring Iron Burden Post-Gene Therapy

Effective monitoring is paramount when considering chelation cessation. Serum ferritin remains a widely used, albeit imperfect, biomarker for total body iron stores. While it correlates with iron burden, it is also an acute phase reactant and can be influenced by inflammation, infection, or liver disease. A single ferritin value, particularly in the immediate post-gene therapy period, may not accurately reflect the true iron status.

More precise methods are essential. Liver iron concentration (LIC), typically measured by MRI T2* or SQUID (Superconducting Quantum Interference Device) biosusceptometry, provides a direct assessment of hepatic iron. Liver iron is often considered a surrogate for total body iron and is a critical indicator of chelation efficacy. Cardiac MRI T2* is equally vital, as it directly quantifies myocardial iron, which is directly linked to cardiac dysfunction and mortality. A cardiac T2* value below 20 ms indicates significant cardiac iron overload, while values below 10 ms are associated with a high risk of heart failure.

The challenge lies in defining the optimal threshold for chelation cessation. Should clinicians aim for a normal serum ferritin (e.g., below 300 ng/mL), or is a higher, but stable, level acceptable in the absence of ongoing transfusions? The answer likely depends on the individual patient's pre-existing organ damage and the rate of iron clearance. For patients with significant pre-existing cardiac iron, a more conservative approach to chelation cessation, potentially continuing therapy until cardiac T2* normalizes, may be warranted. The role of iron status in erythrocytosis also highlights the complex relationship between iron and hematopoiesis, even in conditions not directly related to transfusion.

When to Stop Chelation: A Data-Free Zone

Without specific clinical trial data guiding chelation cessation in gene therapy recipients, decisions are currently based on expert consensus and extrapolation. A common approach involves gradually reducing chelation intensity as iron burden decreases, with the ultimate goal of discontinuation once iron parameters normalize and remain stable for a defined period. This normalization typically includes serum ferritin levels consistently below 500 ng/mL, and importantly, normal cardiac and liver MRI T2* values.

But the kinetics of iron clearance can be slow, particularly for deeply entrenched tissue deposits. It may take several years for a patient with severe iron overload to achieve complete iron normalization, even after achieving transfusion

independence. During this period, continued monitoring is essential. The risk of re-accumulating iron, even without transfusions, is low but not zero, especially if there are underlying genetic predispositions to iron loading or if residual ineffective erythropoiesis persists, driving increased intestinal iron absorption.

The long-term follow-up of patients receiving gene therapy will be critical in establishing evidence-based guidelines for iron chelation cessation. This will require meticulous tracking of iron parameters, organ function, and clinical outcomes over many years. Until then, a cautious, individualized approach is prudent. Clinicians must balance the desire to free patients from daily chelation burdens with the imperative to prevent late-onset iron-related complications. The speed of cell and gene therapy approvals highlights the urgency of developing these new guidelines.

The Role of Hepcidin and Emerging Biomarkers

Hepcidin, the master regulator of iron homeostasis, offers a more dynamic and physiologically relevant measure of iron status than serum ferritin alone. In conditions of iron overload, hepcidin levels are typically elevated, reducing iron absorption and promoting iron sequestration. In patients achieving transfusion independence, hepcidin levels might normalize, reflecting improved iron regulation. Monitoring hepcidin could provide valuable insights into the body's intrinsic ability to manage iron, potentially guiding chelation decisions.

But hepcidin assays are not yet routinely available in all clinical settings, and their interpretation in the context of gene therapy is still evolving. Other emerging biomarkers, such as growth differentiation factor 15 (GDF15) and soluble transferrin receptor (sTfR), may also offer complementary information about erythropoietic activity and iron deficiency, respectively. Integrating these advanced biomarkers into routine monitoring could refine the decision-making process for chelation cessation and help predict the risk of iron re-accumulation. For a comprehensive overview of haematological conditions and their management, the Oxford Handbook of Clinical Haematology remains an invaluable resource.

The open-label design of many early gene therapy trials is an obvious caveat when considering long-term iron management. Without a comparator arm, attributing specific iron kinetics solely to the gene therapy, independent of other factors, can be challenging. The trial was not powered to detect differences in iron clearance rates across various genotypes, and that gap matters for personalized management. Whether benefits extend to patients with less severe pre-existing iron overload also remains unclear, as initial trials often focused on the most severely affected individuals. The question of when ribosomes pause at stop codons illustrates the fundamental biological processes that gene therapies aim to correct, impacting downstream clinical outcomes like iron regulation.

CLINICAL IMPLICATIONS

The arrival of gene therapies for transfusion-dependent anemias forces a re-evaluation of iron chelation protocols. Clinicians can no longer simply maintain chelation indefinitely; they must now actively plan for its cessation. This requires a detailed understanding of iron kinetics and a commitment to rigorous, long-term monitoring, especially with advanced imaging techniques.

For patients, the prospect of transfusion independence is transformative, but it does not immediately erase years of iron accumulation. They will still require careful follow-up, potentially for several years, to ensure that iron stores normalize and remain stable. The psychological burden of daily chelation may lift, but vigilance against late complications of iron overload must persist.

The industry faces the challenge of developing clear, evidence-based guidelines for iron management in this

new era. This means designing future gene therapy trials with robust iron monitoring endpoints and longer follow-up periods. Without this data, clinicians are left to navigate a complex decision-making process with limited guidance, which is hardly ideal for a therapy with such significant patient outcomes.

EDITORIAL & AI STANDARDS

AI tools are used solely to structure and summarise evidence from peer-reviewed primary sources. No AI-generated conclusions appear in The Life Science Feed without editor verification against the primary source.

REFERENCES

1. Gattermann N, Muckenthaler MU, Kulozik AE, Metzgeroth G, Hastka J. The Evaluation of Iron Deficiency and Iron Overload. Dtsch Arztebl Int. 2021;118(49):847-856. doi:10.3238/arztebl.m2021.0290
2. Hsu CC, Senussi NH, Fertrin KY, Kowdley KV. Iron overload disorders. Hepatol Commun. 2022;6(8):1842-1854. doi:10.1002/hep4.2012
3. Lima TG, Benevides FLN, Esmeraldo Filho FL, et al. Treatment of iron overload syndrome: a general review. Rev Assoc Med Bras (1992). 2019;65(9):1216-1222. doi:10.1590/1806-9282.65.9.1216
4. Coates TD. Management of iron overload: lessons from transfusion-dependent hemoglobinopathies. Blood. 2025;145(4):359-371. doi:10.1182/blood.2023022502
5. Siddique A, Kowdley KV. Review article: the iron overload syndromes. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2012;35(8):876-93. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2036.2012.05051.x
6. Atilla E, Toprak SK, Demirel T. Current Review of Iron Overload and Related Complications in Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplantation. Turk J Haematol. 2017;34(1):1-9. doi:10.4274/tjh.2016.0450

LICENCE & RIGHTS

© 2026 The Life Science Feed. All rights reserved. This content is produced for medical education purposes. It may not be reproduced, distributed, or transmitted without prior written permission from The Life Science Feed.

MEDICAL DISCLAIMER

This content is intended for healthcare professionals only and does not constitute medical advice. Clinical decisions must be made by qualified practitioners based on individual patient circumstances.

FOLLOW THE LIFE SCIENCE FEED

Instagram @lifesciencefeed **LinkedIn** linkedin.com/company/thelifesciencefeed

thelifesciencefeed.com