

Is your diabetes care missing the full metabolic picture?

Written by The Life Science Feed Editorial Team · Published 25 September 2026 · Edited by Mara Voss

Managing diabetes has long centered on glucose control, a critical but incomplete picture of metabolic health. While continuous glucose monitoring (CGM) has transformed daily care, the inherent complexity of diabetes extends beyond a single biomarker. The field is now exploring how integrating additional sensing capabilities could provide a more holistic understanding of a patient's physiological state, moving towards a truly personalized approach.

This evolution from single-parameter glucose tracking to multi-analyte sensing promises to refine therapeutic strategies, potentially preempting complications and optimizing treatment responses. The goal is to move beyond reactive glucose management to proactive metabolic intervention, offering clinicians a richer dataset for decision-making.

KEY TAKEAWAYS

- **The Pivot:** Diabetes management is evolving from isolated glucose monitoring to integrated dual-sensing technologies that track multiple metabolic biomarkers simultaneously.
- **The Data:** While specific trial data is not available, the conceptual shift aims to provide a more comprehensive physiological profile than glucose alone.
- **The Action:** Clinicians should anticipate future devices offering combined metabolic insights, preparing to integrate these broader datasets into patient care plans.

Diabetes mellitus, particularly type 2, is a syndrome characterized by chronic hyperglycemia but also involves a complex relationship of metabolic dysregulation, including altered lipid profiles, inflammatory markers, and hormonal imbalances. Current standard-of-care, while effective at managing glucose, often addresses these other facets indirectly or through separate monitoring. This fragmented approach can lead to delayed recognition of worsening metabolic health or suboptimal therapeutic adjustments.

Continuous glucose monitoring (CGM) devices have become indispensable tools, providing real-time glucose trends and alerts that empower patients and clinicians to make timely adjustments to diet, exercise, and medication. These systems offer a dynamic view of glycemic control, far superior to intermittent finger-prick measurements. But they capture only one dimension of a multi-system disease, leaving other critical metabolic indicators unaddressed. The utility of CGM in specific populations, such as those with type 2 diabetes on dialysis, highlights its current impact.

The Limitations of Glucose-Centric Monitoring

Relying solely on glucose as the primary metric for diabetes management, while foundational, overlooks several aspects of the disease's progression and its associated complications. Glycemic variability, for instance, is a known contributor to oxidative stress and endothelial dysfunction, independent of average glucose levels. But glucose alone does not fully capture the extent of this physiological stress or its downstream effects on cardiovascular health or renal function. Patients with diabetes frequently experience dyslipidemia, hypertension, and systemic inflammation, all of which contribute significantly to macrovascular and microvascular complications. Current practice requires separate blood tests and monitoring for these conditions, creating a disjointed picture of a patient's overall metabolic status. This siloed approach means that interventions for one aspect, such as glucose, might not be optimally coordinated with interventions for another, like lipid management.

The current model also places a significant burden on patients, requiring multiple appointments, different devices, and various testing schedules to track their health comprehensively. Integrating these measurements into a single, continuous system could streamline monitoring, improve adherence, and provide a more immediate, actionable understanding of a patient's metabolic trajectory. This integrated view could also help in understanding the complex relationship between metformin and exercise in type 2 diabetes, for example.

The lag between a metabolic insult and its manifestation as a change in glucose levels can be substantial. For example, early signs of insulin resistance or impending hypoglycemic events might be detectable through other biomarkers before glucose levels significantly shift. A dual-sensing approach could offer earlier detection and allow for proactive interventions, potentially preventing acute events or slowing chronic disease progression. This is particularly relevant for patients using advanced insulin delivery systems, where hybrid closed-loop systems already integrate CGM data for automated insulin delivery.

The Promise of Dual-Sensing Technologies

The next generation of diabetes management aims to move beyond glucose alone by incorporating additional biomarkers into continuous monitoring platforms. This dual-sensing capability could provide a more comprehensive understanding of a patient's metabolic state. Imagine a device that not only tracks glucose but also continuously monitors lactate, ketones, or even certain inflammatory markers, all from a single, minimally invasive sensor.

Lactate monitoring, for example, could offer insights into tissue hypoxia or metabolic stress, particularly relevant in situations of severe hyperglycemia or sepsis. Continuous ketone monitoring could be invaluable for patients with type 1 diabetes or those at risk of diabetic ketoacidosis, providing early warning signs that glucose alone might miss. This could significantly reduce hospitalizations and improve patient safety. For a deeper dive into advanced diabetes management, the Oxford Handbook of Endocrinology and Diabetes (4th ed) offers practical guidance.

Beyond these immediate metabolic markers, the potential extends to tracking biomarkers of inflammation or oxidative stress, which are central to the long-term complications of diabetes. Continuous monitoring of such markers could allow for earlier identification of patients at high risk for cardiovascular events or nephropathy, enabling more aggressive preventive strategies. This proactive approach contrasts sharply with the current reactive model, where complications are often addressed only after they manifest clinically.

The technological hurdles for dual-sensing are substantial, involving miniaturization, biocompatibility, and the development of highly selective and stable electrochemical sensors. But advances in microfluidics and nanotechnology are making these integrated systems increasingly feasible. The data generated by such devices would also require

sophisticated algorithms for interpretation, moving beyond simple thresholds to predictive analytics that can alert clinicians to emerging risks. This shift aligns with the broader trend in medicine towards predictive and preventive care.

Integrating Multiple Biomarkers into Clinical Practice

The introduction of dual-sensing technologies will necessitate a re-evaluation of current clinical guidelines and treatment algorithms. Clinicians will need to learn how to interpret a richer, more complex dataset, moving beyond the familiar A1c and fasting glucose values. This will require new training and a shift in mindset, from managing individual numbers to understanding interconnected physiological systems.

For instance, if a patient's glucose levels are stable but their continuous lactate levels are trending upwards, it might signal an underlying issue requiring investigation, even in the absence of overt symptoms. This could lead to earlier detection of conditions like heart failure or renal dysfunction, where metabolic stress plays a significant role. The challenge will be to translate this wealth of data into actionable clinical insights without overwhelming clinicians or patients.

The development of decision-support tools and artificial intelligence algorithms will be critical in making dual-sensing data manageable and clinically useful. These tools could identify patterns, flag anomalies, and suggest potential interventions based on the integrated metabolic profile. This would augment, rather than replace, clinical judgment, allowing for more precise and personalized treatment plans. The discussion around the human touch in diabetes care remains relevant even with technological advancements.

The integration of these technologies also raises questions about data privacy, security, and equitable access. Ensuring that these advanced monitoring systems are available to all patients who could benefit, regardless of socioeconomic status, will be a significant policy challenge. The sheer volume of data generated will require robust infrastructure for storage, analysis, and secure sharing between patients, clinicians, and healthcare systems. The future of diabetes care hinges on how effectively these challenges are addressed, ensuring that technological innovation truly translates into improved patient outcomes.

CLINICAL IMPLICATIONS

The move towards dual-sensing in diabetes care represents a necessary evolution, acknowledging that glucose is merely one piece of a much larger metabolic puzzle. Clinicians should prepare for a future where patient data extends beyond A1c and intermittent labs, demanding a more integrated understanding of metabolic physiology. This will require adapting existing treatment paradigms and embracing new diagnostic tools.

For patients, this could mean fewer invasive tests and a more continuous, real-time understanding of their health, potentially leading to earlier interventions and a reduced burden of complications. But the complexity of interpreting multi-analyte data will place a greater emphasis on shared decision-making and patient education. The industry, in turn, faces the challenge of developing reliable, affordable, and user-friendly devices that can seamlessly integrate into daily life.

The real utility of dual-sensing will lie in its ability to predict and prevent, rather than merely react. If these technologies can reliably identify patients at risk of cardiovascular events or kidney disease before overt symptoms appear, they will fundamentally alter the trajectory of diabetes management. Otherwise, they risk becoming another layer of data without clear clinical benefit.

EDITORIAL & AI STANDARDS

AI tools are used solely to structure and summarise evidence from peer-reviewed primary sources. No AI-generated conclusions appear in The Life Science Feed without editor verification against the primary source.

REFERENCES

1. Javeed N, Matveyenko AV. Circadian Etiology of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. *Physiology (Bethesda)*. 2018;33(2):138-150. doi:10.1152/physiol.00003.2018
2. Wang L, Li L, Liu J, et al. Associated factors and principal pathophysiological mechanisms of type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)*. 2025;16:1499565. doi:10.3389/fendo.2025.1499565
3. Toi PL, Anothaisintawee T, Chaikledkaew U, Briones JR, Reutrakul S, Thakkestian A. Preventive Role of Diet Interventions and Dietary Factors in Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus: An Umbrella Review. *Nutrients*. 2020;12(9). doi:10.3390/nu12092722
4. Churuangsuk C, Hall J, Reynolds A, Griffin SJ, Combet E, Lean MEJ. Diets for weight management in adults with type 2 diabetes: an umbrella review of published meta-analyses and systematic review of trials of diets for diabetes remission. *Diabetologia*. 2022;65(1):14-36. doi:10.1007/s00125-021-05577-2
5. Milenkovic T, Bozhinovska N, Macut D, et al. Mediterranean Diet and Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus: A Perpetual Inspiration for the Scientific World. A Review. *Nutrients*. 2021;13(4). doi:10.3390/nu13041307
6. Nishikawa H, Fukunishi S, Asai A, et al. Sarcopenia, frailty and type 2 diabetes mellitus (Review). *Mol Med Rep*. 2021;24(6). doi:10.3892/mmr.2021.12494

LICENCE & RIGHTS

© 2026 The Life Science Feed. All rights reserved. This content is produced for medical education purposes. It may not be reproduced, distributed, or transmitted without prior written permission from The Life Science Feed.

MEDICAL DISCLAIMER

This content is intended for healthcare professionals only and does not constitute medical advice. Clinical decisions must be made by qualified practitioners based on individual patient circumstances.

FOLLOW THE LIFE SCIENCE FEED

Instagram @lifesciencefeed **LinkedIn** linkedin.com/company/thelifesciencefeed

thelifesciencefeed.com