

Marathon running with type 1 diabetes: Is it finally safe?

Written by The Life Science Feed Editorial Team · Published 14 October 2026 · Edited by William Lopes

For many adults living with type 1 diabetes, the prospect of undertaking extreme endurance events like marathons has historically been fraught with significant glycemic challenges. Maintaining euglycemia during prolonged, high-intensity exercise demands precise insulin adjustments and carbohydrate intake, a balance often difficult to achieve with conventional monitoring methods. Modern diabetes technology, particularly continuous glucose monitoring, has fundamentally altered this equation, offering unprecedented real-time insights into glucose dynamics.

The integration of CGM with traditional blood glucose monitoring (BGM) allows individuals with type 1 diabetes to manage their glucose levels proactively, mitigating the risks of both hypoglycemia and hyperglycemia during strenuous physical activity. This combined approach enables athletes to make informed decisions about insulin dosing and nutritional strategies, transforming what was once a prohibitive challenge into an achievable goal for many.

KEY TAKEAWAYS

- **The Pivot:** Continuous glucose monitoring (CGM) and glucometer use enable adults with type 1 diabetes to complete marathons safely.
- **The Data:** Real-time glucose data from CGM, validated by glucometer readings, allows for dynamic insulin and carbohydrate adjustments during prolonged exercise.
- **The Action:** Clinicians should counsel patients with type 1 diabetes on integrating advanced glucose monitoring with structured pre-race and race-day protocols for endurance events.

Managing type 1 diabetes during endurance sports, particularly marathons, requires a sophisticated understanding of glucose metabolism and insulin kinetics. The physiological demands of prolonged exercise can lead to unpredictable shifts in blood glucose, making meticulous planning and real-time adjustments essential. Without adequate preparation and monitoring, athletes face a high risk of severe hypoglycemia or, conversely, hyperglycemia due to stress hormones and inadequate insulin.

Historically, athletes with type 1 diabetes relied solely on finger-prick blood glucose meters, which provide only snapshots of glucose levels, often with a significant lag. This method made proactive management difficult, forcing athletes to react to trends rather than anticipate them. The advent of continuous glucose monitoring has provided a more dynamic picture, offering glucose readings every few minutes and displaying trends that allow for more informed decision-making during exercise.

The Role of Continuous Glucose Monitoring

Continuous glucose monitoring (CGM) systems have become indispensable tools for athletes with type 1 diabetes. These devices measure interstitial glucose levels, providing a continuous stream of data that reveals trends and rates of change. This real-time feedback is critical during a marathon, where glucose levels can fluctuate rapidly due to varying exercise intensity, carbohydrate intake, and insulin absorption.

CGM allows athletes to see if their glucose is rising, falling, or stable, enabling them to intervene before a dangerous glycemic event occurs. For instance, a rapidly dropping glucose trend can prompt an athlete to consume carbohydrates immediately, preventing severe hypoglycemia. Conversely, a rising trend might indicate the need for a small insulin bolus, carefully calculated to avoid overcorrection.

But CGM data is not without its nuances. Interstitial glucose readings can lag behind blood glucose levels, especially during periods of rapid change, such as the start of exercise or after a large carbohydrate intake. This lag means that while CGM provides invaluable trend information, it may not always reflect the immediate blood glucose concentration. Understanding this lag is essential for accurate interpretation and timely intervention, particularly when making critical decisions during a race. For a deeper dive into how these readings can drift, consider our coverage on why CGM readings drift during a marathon in type 1 diabetes.

The accuracy of CGM devices can be affected by factors such as dehydration and extreme temperatures, which are common during marathons. Athletes must be aware of these potential limitations and understand when to cross-reference CGM data with a traditional blood glucose meter. This dual approach ensures that decisions are based on the most reliable information available at any given moment.

Integrating Glucometer Readings for Precision

While CGM offers continuous data, the traditional glucometer remains a vital component of glucose management for marathon runners with type 1 diabetes. Glucometers provide direct blood glucose readings, which are considered the gold standard for accuracy, especially when CGM readings are questionable or when precise numbers are needed for insulin dosing or carbohydrate intake decisions.

The strategy involves using the glucometer to confirm CGM readings, particularly when the CGM shows rapid changes, alarms, or values that do not align with the athlete's symptoms. For example, if a CGM indicates severe hypoglycemia but the athlete feels fine, a finger-prick test can confirm the actual blood glucose level. This validation step prevents unnecessary interventions based on potentially inaccurate interstitial readings.

Athletes typically establish a pre-race protocol that includes frequent glucometer checks in the hours leading up to the marathon. This ensures that they start the race with stable and optimal glucose levels. During the race, glucometer checks might be less frequent but are performed strategically, such as at aid stations or when CGM trends are ambiguous. This combined approach provides both the continuous overview from CGM and the pinpoint accuracy of BGM, creating a robust monitoring system.

The planning extends beyond just monitoring. Athletes must also develop a detailed nutrition plan, including specific types and amounts of carbohydrates to consume at regular intervals, often guided by their real-time glucose data.

Insulin adjustments, both basal and bolus, are also critical and are often reduced significantly before and during the race to prevent hypoglycemia. This intricate dance of insulin, carbohydrates, and exercise requires extensive practice and fine-tuning, often over several months of training runs.

Pre-Race Preparation and In-Race Strategy

Successful marathon completion for individuals with type 1 diabetes hinges on meticulous pre-race preparation. This includes extensive training runs where glucose management strategies are tested and refined. Athletes must learn how their body responds to different types of exercise, carbohydrate sources, and insulin adjustments under race-like conditions. This trial-and-error process is essential for developing a personalized plan that minimizes glycemic excursions.

A critical aspect of preparation involves adjusting basal insulin rates in the days leading up to the race and on race morning. Many athletes find that reducing their basal insulin by a significant percentage, sometimes up to 50% or more, helps prevent hypoglycemia during prolonged activity. Bolus insulin for meals and snacks also requires careful consideration, often needing to be reduced or omitted entirely depending on the timing relative to the race.

During the marathon itself, the strategy shifts to continuous monitoring and rapid response. Athletes carry readily available sources of fast-acting carbohydrates, such as glucose gels or sports drinks, to treat impending hypoglycemia. They also have slower-acting carbohydrates, like energy bars, for sustained energy. The goal is to maintain glucose levels within a safe target range, typically slightly higher than normal, to provide a buffer against unexpected drops.

The mental fortitude required to manage diabetes during a marathon cannot be overstated. Athletes must remain vigilant, constantly interpreting their CGM data, performing glucometer checks, and making real-time decisions about food and insulin. This constant cognitive load adds another layer of challenge to an already demanding physical feat. Support from a diabetes care team, including endocrinologists, dietitians, and exercise physiologists, is invaluable in developing and refining these complex management plans. For general practitioners, having a solid reference like the Oxford Handbook of Endocrinology and Diabetes can be invaluable for guiding patients through such specialized scenarios.

The Unmet Need and Future Directions

Despite the advancements in CGM technology, a significant unmet need remains in providing comprehensive, easily accessible guidance for individuals with type 1 diabetes participating in endurance sports. While individual athletes and their care teams develop bespoke strategies, a standardized, evidence-based framework could benefit a broader population. The current approach often relies heavily on personal experience and anecdotal evidence, which can be inconsistent.

Future research could focus on developing algorithms that integrate CGM data with exercise intensity, heart rate, and carbohydrate intake to provide predictive glucose management recommendations in real time. Such systems could further reduce the cognitive burden on athletes, allowing them to focus more on their performance and less on constant glucose calculations. The development of closed-loop insulin delivery systems, or artificial pancreases, specifically optimized for exercise, also holds immense promise.

The practicalities of such advanced systems in the unpredictable environment of a marathon present their own challenges. Factors like sensor adhesion, battery life, and connectivity issues need to be addressed. Still, the trajectory of diabetes technology points towards increasingly sophisticated tools that will continue to empower individuals with type 1 diabetes to pursue their athletic ambitions without undue risk. The ongoing evolution of these devices will undoubtedly make such feats even more accessible and safer for the wider diabetic community.

CLINICAL IMPLICATIONS

The ability of adults with type 1 diabetes to complete marathons using CGM and glucometers highlights a significant shift in diabetes management. Clinicians should recognize that type 1 diabetes no longer precludes participation in extreme endurance sports, provided patients are equipped with the right technology and a robust management plan. This requires a proactive, rather than reactive, approach to glucose control. But this achievement is not simply about having the devices; it is about the meticulous planning and education that accompanies their use. GPs and specialists must be prepared to guide patients through complex insulin adjustments, carbohydrate loading strategies, and the interpretation of real-time glucose data. Dismissing a patient's athletic aspirations due to their diabetes status is outdated and misinformed.

The dual reliance on CGM and glucometers highlights the enduring importance of traditional monitoring, even as technology advances. CGM provides trends, but the glucometer offers definitive numbers, especially when clinical decisions are critical. This hybrid approach should be emphasized in patient education, ensuring that athletes understand the strengths and limitations of each tool.

This demonstrates that with proper support and technology, individuals with type 1 diabetes can achieve extraordinary physical feats. It challenges the medical community to move beyond a purely disease-focused perspective and embrace a patient-centered approach that supports quality of life and personal goals, even those that seem daunting.

EDITORIAL & AI STANDARDS

AI tools are used solely to structure and summarise evidence from peer-reviewed primary sources. No AI-generated conclusions appear in The Life Science Feed without editor verification against the primary source.

REFERENCES

1. Li W, Huang E, Gao S. Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus and Cognitive Impairments: A Systematic Review. *J Alzheimers Dis.* 2017;57(1):29-36. doi:10.3233/JAD-161250
2. Henríquez-Tejo R, Cartes-Velásquez R. [Psychosocial impact of type 1 diabetes mellitus in children, adolescents and their families. Literature review]. *Rev Chil Pediatr.* 2018;89(3):391-398. doi:10.4067/S0370-41062018005000507
3. Ebrahimpour Y, Khatami S, Saffar M, et al. A Comprehensive Review of Novel Advances in Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus. *J Diabetes.* 2025;17(8):e70120. doi:10.1111/1753-0407.70120
4. Syed FZ. Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus. *Ann Intern Med.* 2022;175(3):ITC33-ITC48. doi:10.7326/AITC202203150
5. Durá-Travé T, Gallinas-Victoriano F. Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus and Vitamin D. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2025;26(10). doi:10.3390/ijms26104593
6. Jaffar F, Laycock K, Huda MSB. Type 1 Diabetes in Pregnancy: A Review of Complications and Management. *Curr Diabetes Rev.* 2022;18(7):e051121197761. doi:10.2174/1573399818666211105124829

LICENCE & RIGHTS

© 2026 The Life Science Feed. All rights reserved. This content is produced for medical education purposes. It may not be reproduced, distributed, or transmitted without prior written permission from The Life Science Feed.

MEDICAL DISCLAIMER

This content is intended for healthcare professionals only and does not constitute medical advice. Clinical decisions must be made by qualified practitioners based on individual patient circumstances.

FOLLOW THE LIFE SCIENCE FEED

Instagram @lifesciencefeed **LinkedIn** linkedin.com/company/thelifesciencefeed

thelifesciencefeed.com