OCD Diagnosis: Why Patients Wait Over a Decade for Help

Written by The Life Science Feed Editorial Team · Edited by Mara Voss

Obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD) is a chronic, often debilitating condition characterised by intrusive thoughts and repetitive behaviours. Despite its significant impact on quality of life and function, patients frequently endure prolonged diagnostic journeys. This delay leaves individuals struggling with severe symptoms for years before receiving appropriate care.

KEY TAKEAWAYS

- The Pivot: The average time from OCD symptom onset to formal diagnosis extends to over a decade.
- The Data: An 11-year average delay to diagnosis is common, with significant portions of this time spent navigating primary care and initial mental health assessments.
- The Action: Clinicians should maintain a high index of suspicion for OCD, particularly when patients present with anxiety, depression, or somatic complaints that do not fully resolve with standard treatments.

Obsessive-compulsive disorder affects a substantial portion of the population, yet its recognition in clinical practice remains a persistent challenge. The condition manifests with a wide spectrum of symptoms, from contamination fears and compulsive washing to intrusive thoughts of harm and repetitive checking rituals. These symptoms often cause profound distress and functional impairment, affecting work, relationships, and daily activities. The unmet need for timely diagnosis and intervention is clear, given the chronic nature of the disorder and its potential for severe progression without treatment.

Patients typically present to various healthcare professionals, including general practitioners, psychiatrists, and other mental health specialists, before receiving an accurate diagnosis. The path to identification is often circuitous, complicated by symptom overlap with other psychiatric conditions such as generalised anxiety disorder, major depressive disorder, and even psychotic disorders. This diagnostic ambiguity contributes significantly to the protracted timeline for appropriate care.

The Diagnostic Labyrinth

The journey to an OCD diagnosis averages around 11 years from symptom onset. This extended period is not a single, monolithic delay, but rather a series of missed opportunities and misinterpretations across different points of contact within the healthcare system. Initial presentations in primary care often focus on anxiety or depressive symptoms, which are common comorbidities but can mask the underlying OCD. Without direct inquiry into specific obsessions and compulsions, these core features can remain unaddressed.

Even within mental health services, the diagnosis can be elusive. Some patients receive diagnoses of other anxiety disorders or depression, with treatment focused solely on those conditions. This approach, while addressing some symptoms, fails to target the specific mechanisms of OCD, leading to suboptimal outcomes and continued suffering. The lack of routine, structured screening for OCD in both primary and secondary care settings contributes to this diagnostic drift. For a deeper dive into how other conditions are often missed, consider our coverage on Lyme disease: Why early diagnosis is often missed.

Where the Delays Occur

A significant portion of the diagnostic delay occurs at the primary care level. General practitioners, often the first point of contact, may not routinely screen for OCD symptoms, especially when patients present with more overt anxiety or depressive complaints. The stigma associated with mental health conditions also plays a role, with patients sometimes reluctant to disclose the full extent of their intrusive thoughts or compulsive behaviours. This reluctance can further obscure the clinical picture, making accurate diagnosis more challenging.

But delays also happen within specialist mental health services. Even after referral, a comprehensive assessment specifically tailored to identify OCD may not always be performed. Clinicians might focus on the most prominent or distressing symptoms, overlooking the characteristic patterns of obsessions and compulsions. The Oxford Handbook of Psychiatry (4th ed) offers a presentation-based guide to psychiatric diagnosis and management, which can be a valuable resource for clinicians navigating complex presentations.

The lack of standardised diagnostic pathways and the variability in clinician training regarding OCD also contribute to the problem. Some clinicians may not be fully aware of the subtle presentations of OCD, particularly when symptoms are internalised or less overtly ritualistic. This can lead to a prolonged period of trial-and-error treatment for other conditions, further delaying effective OCD-specific interventions.

The Impact of Delayed Diagnosis

The consequences of an 11-year diagnostic delay are substantial. Patients experience prolonged periods of severe psychological distress, functional impairment, and reduced quality of life. Untreated OCD can lead to significant comorbidity, including increased rates of major depression, substance use disorders, and even suicidal ideation. The economic burden, both on individuals and healthcare systems, also escalates with delayed diagnosis and treatment. Early identification and intervention are critical for improving long-term outcomes and reducing the overall impact of the disorder. Our previous article on Sjögren's Disease: Early Damage Often Present at Diagnosis highlights a similar issue of early damage occurring before a formal diagnosis is made.

The challenge extends beyond just identifying the condition. Once diagnosed, access to evidence-based treatments, primarily cognitive behavioural therapy (CBT) with exposure and response prevention (ERP), and selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs), can still be limited. The specialist training required for effective ERP delivery means that even a correct diagnosis does not always translate into immediate, appropriate care. This creates a secondary layer of delay, where patients wait for treatment even after their condition is finally recognised.

> **CLINICAL IMPLICATIONS**
> The 11-year diagnostic lag for OCD is simply unacceptable. Clinicians in primary care must adopt a more proactive approach, integrating brief screening questions for obsessions and compulsions into routine mental

health assessments. Relying solely on patient-initiated disclosure is clearly insufficient given the stigma and internalised nature of many OCD symptoms.

For mental health specialists, the imperative is to ensure comprehensive differential diagnosis. Assuming a patient's anxiety or depression is isolated without exploring potential underlying OCD misses a critical opportunity for targeted intervention. A thorough psychiatric history, specifically probing for repetitive thoughts, urges, or mental acts, is non-negotiable.

The system itself needs to evolve. We need better training for all healthcare professionals on recognising the diverse presentations of OCD, including less typical manifestations. Improving access to specialised CBT with ERP, once a diagnosis is made, is paramount. A diagnosis without accessible treatment is merely a label, not a solution.

EDITORIAL & AI STANDARDS

AI tools are used solely to structure and summarise evidence from peer-reviewed primary sources. No AI-generated conclusions appear in The Life Science Feed without editor verification against the primary source.

REFERENCES

1. 1. Endres D, Schiele MA, von Zedtwitz K, et al. Obsessive-compulsive disorder - A state-of-the-art review. Neurosci Biobehav Rev. 2025;177:106320. doi:10.1016/j.neubiorev.2025.106320
2. 2. Diedrich A, Voderholzer U. Obsessive-compulsive personality disorder: a current review. Curr Psychiatry Rep. 2015;17(2):2. doi:10.1007/s11920-014-0547-8
3. 3. Cervin M. Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder: Diagnosis, Clinical Features, Nosology, and Epidemiology. Psychiatr Clin North Am. 2023;46(1):1-16. doi:10.1016/j.psc.2022.10.006
4. 4. Stiede JT, Spencer SD, Onyeka O, et al. Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder in Children and Adolescents. Annu Rev Clin Psychol. 2024;20(1):355-380. doi:10.1146/annurev-clinpsy-080822-043910
5. 5. Robbins TW, Vaghi MM, Banca P. Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder: Puzzles and Prospects. Neuron. 2019;102(1):27-47. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2019.01.046
6. 6. Veale D, Roberts A. Obsessive-compulsive disorder. BMJ. 2014;348:g2183. doi:10.1136/bmj.g2183

LICENCE & RIGHTS

MEDICAL DISCLAIMER