

Pediatric dentistry: Herbal remedies – benefits or hidden risks?

Written by The Life Science Feed Editorial Team · Published 21 October 2026 · Edited by Mara Voss

The increasing interest in plant-derived therapies for pediatric dental care presents a complex challenge for European GPs and specialists. While some parents seek these options for perceived natural benefits, clinicians must critically evaluate the limited evidence base and potential risks associated with botanical medicines.

KEY TAKEAWAYS

- **The Pivot:** The increasing interest in plant-derived therapies for pediatric dental issues necessitates a critical re-evaluation of their evidence base, standardization, and safe integration into clinical practice.
- **The Data:** A significant challenge in assessing plant-derived therapies is the lack of rigorous evidence, with many studies being small, poorly controlled, and using varying preparations, making firm conclusions about efficacy or safety difficult.
- **The Action:** Clinicians should engage in open, evidence-based discussions with parents about the limitations of plant-derived therapies, focusing on potential risks like allergic reactions and drug interactions, while prioritizing conventional treatments with established safety and efficacy profiles.

Evidence Assessment

Before even considering phytotherapy, a critical appraisal of the available evidence is mandatory. The narrative review likely highlights a common problem- the evidence base for many herbal remedies is weak. Studies are often small, poorly controlled, and use varying preparations and dosages. This makes it difficult to draw firm conclusions about efficacy or safety.

Clinicians need to be adept at interpreting research findings and understanding the limitations of the available data. This includes assessing the quality of the studies, the relevance of the findings to pediatric populations, and the potential for bias. Are the studies reproducible- and more importantly, are the preparations used in the study even available to us? A lack of rigorous evidence does not automatically negate the potential benefit of a treatment, but it does increase the level of caution required.

Risk Communication

Open and honest communication with parents is paramount. This involves explaining the limitations of the evidence, outlining the potential risks and benefits of both conventional and herbal treatments, and addressing any misconceptions or concerns. Parents need to understand that "natural" does not automatically equate to "safe".

Specific risks to discuss include potential allergic reactions, interactions with other medications, and the lack of

standardized dosing. It's crucial to frame the discussion in a way that is both informative and respectful of parental beliefs. Instead of dismissing herbal remedies outright, acknowledge the reasons for their interest while emphasizing the need for caution and evidence-based decision-making. For example, discuss the lack of regulation around herbal medicine manufacturing.

How do you actually **do** this? Begin by asking about the parent's reasoning. What are they hoping to achieve with this alternative therapy? What research have **they** done? Next, lay out the knowns and unknowns. Use phrases like, "While some studies suggest X, these studies are small and of low quality." Always end with a joint decision. "Given the current information, what makes the most sense to you?"

Product Standardization

One of the major challenges with phytotherapy is the lack of standardization in product manufacturing. Unlike conventional medications, herbal remedies are often not subject to rigorous quality control. This can lead to inconsistencies in potency, purity, and even the presence of contaminants. If a parent is insistent on using a particular herbal remedy, recommend that they choose products from reputable manufacturers that adhere to good manufacturing practices.

However, even with reputable manufacturers, it's difficult to guarantee the consistency and quality of herbal products. Clinicians should advise parents to report any adverse effects or unexpected outcomes. Given the potential risks, it may be prudent to avoid recommending or endorsing specific herbal products altogether. The safest approach is to focus on conventional treatments with established safety and efficacy profiles.

Integrative Approaches

While caution is warranted, there may be situations where an integrative approach is appropriate. This involves combining conventional treatments with complementary therapies, such as phytotherapy, in a way that is both safe and evidence-informed. For example, herbal remedies might be considered as an adjunct to conventional pain management for mild dental discomfort, but **never** as a replacement for necessary dental procedures or treatments for serious infections.

Any integrative approach should be carefully monitored and documented. Clinicians need to be aware of potential interactions between herbal remedies and conventional medications, and they should closely observe patients for any adverse effects. It's also important to set realistic expectations. Parents should understand that herbal remedies may not provide a complete solution and that conventional treatments may still be necessary.

Ultimately, the goal is to provide the best possible care for the child while respecting parental preferences. This requires a collaborative approach, where clinicians and parents work together to make informed decisions based on the available evidence and the child's individual needs.

CLINICAL IMPLICATIONS

The most striking consequence of widespread plant-derived therapy use in pediatric dentistry is the significant risk to patient safety. Unlike conventional prescription therapy, botanical medicines often lack stringent regulatory oversight. This means inconsistencies in potency, purity, and even the presence of contaminants are common. The World Health Organization's GACP guidelines offer a framework, but adherence is not universal. Clinicians must prioritize treatments with established safety and efficacy profiles, particularly for vulnerable pediatric populations.

For clinicians, navigating parental interest in botanical medicine requires a nuanced approach. Dismissing these therapies outright can erode trust. Instead, focus on transparent risk communication. Explain the limitations of the evidence base, which is often weak, with small, poorly controlled studies. Discuss potential allergic reactions and drug interactions, as highlighted by Ernst (2002). Emphasize that "natural" does not equate to "safe," a critical point for parents to understand.

The industry faces a clear challenge: the lack of standardization in botanical medicine manufacturing. Without rigorous quality control, ensuring consistent product quality remains difficult. While some reputable manufacturers adhere to good manufacturing practices, a universal standard comparable to pharmaceutical regulations is absent. This gap places an undue burden on clinicians and parents to assess product reliability, a task they are ill-equipped to perform.

Ultimately, while an integrative approach might seem appealing, it must be approached with extreme caution and robust evidence. Botanical medicines should never replace necessary dental procedures or treatments for serious infections. Any consideration of plant-derived therapies should be as an adjunct for mild discomfort, carefully monitored, and always secondary to conventional treatments with demonstrated efficacy and safety. Barnes, Anderson, and Phillipson (2007) provide a comprehensive overview of herbal medicines, underscoring the complexity and potential risks involved.

EDITORIAL & AI STANDARDS

AI tools are used to structure and summarise evidence from peer-reviewed primary sources. Articles that carry a signed Editor's take have been read in full by William Lopes. The others are not individually read by an editor, so check the cited source before relying on a figure.

LICENCE & RIGHTS

© 2026 The Life Science Feed. All rights reserved. This content is produced for medical education purposes. It may not be reproduced, distributed, or transmitted without prior written permission from The Life Science Feed.

MEDICAL DISCLAIMER

This content is intended for healthcare professionals only and does not constitute medical advice. Clinical decisions must be made by qualified practitioners based on individual patient circumstances.

FOLLOW THE LIFE SCIENCE FEED

Instagram @lifesciencefeed **LinkedIn** linkedin.com/company/thelifesciencefeed

thelifesciencefeed.com