Prisons: A Missing Front in England's HIV Action Plan

Written by The Life Science Feed Editorial Team · Published 21 June 2026 · Edited by Mara Voss

> England's national HIV action plan, aiming to end new HIV transmissions by 2030, currently omits prisons as a specific intervention setting. This oversight represents a significant gap, potentially undermining efforts to achieve national targets for HIV prevention and care. The immediate takeaway is that the current strategy may be incomplete without addressing the unique challenges and opportunities within correctional facilities.1

KEY TAKEAWAYS

- The Pivot: England's HIV action plan does not explicitly include prisons as a setting for intervention.
- The Data: No specific quantitative data was provided in the abstract, but the paper highlights a critical omission.
- The Action: Policymakers should consider integrating prison-specific strategies into the national HIV action plan to meet 2030 targets.

The national HIV action plan for England outlines a strategy to eliminate new HIV transmissions by 2030. This plan focuses on several key areas, including prevention, testing, and treatment within the general population. However, a recent analysis published in the BMJ identifies a notable omission: the lack of specific provisions for prisons.1

What the study did

The paper, authored by Sethi P. in BMJ 2026, critically examines the scope of England's current HIV action plan.1 It highlights that while the plan addresses various community settings and at-risk populations, it does not detail specific strategies or targets for individuals within the prison system.1 This is presented as a significant oversight, given the epidemiological context of HIV transmission and care within correctional facilities.1 The author argues that prisons represent a concentrated environment where targeted interventions could yield substantial public health benefits, not only for incarcerated individuals but also for the wider community upon their release.1

The analysis suggests that effective HIV prevention and treatment strategies, such as routine opt-out testing, pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) provision, and immediate access to antiretroviral therapy (ART), are critical components of any comprehensive HIV action plan.1 The absence of these specific provisions for the prison population means that a segment of the population with potentially elevated risk factors or unmet healthcare needs is not adequately addressed by the national strategy.1 This could impede the overall progress towards the 2030 target, as individuals cycling through the prison system may contribute to ongoing transmission chains if their HIV status is not identified and managed effectively.1

The paper does not provide specific trial data, hazard ratios, or p-values, as its focus is on policy analysis rather than a

clinical trial.1 Its primary contribution is to identify a policy gap and advocate for its rectification.1 The author implicitly calls for the integration of prison-specific interventions into the national plan, suggesting that this would align England's strategy with best practices observed in other jurisdictions that have successfully reduced HIV transmission rates within correctional settings.1

Clinical Implications and Public Health Imperative

The omission of a dedicated strategy for prisons carries significant clinical and public health implications. Individuals entering correctional facilities often present with a higher prevalence of risk factors for HIV acquisition, including injecting drug use, unprotected sexual contact, and co-occurring infections such as hepatitis C. The transient nature of incarceration, with individuals frequently cycling in and out of the prison system, creates a critical window for intervention that, if missed, can perpetuate transmission both within the prison walls and in the broader community upon release. Effective implementation of opt-out testing upon entry and throughout incarceration, coupled with immediate linkage to care and ART for those diagnosed with HIV, is paramount. This "test and treat" approach not only improves individual health outcomes but also significantly reduces the risk of onward transmission by achieving viral suppression.

Furthermore, the provision of PrEP to at-risk incarcerated individuals, particularly those with a history of injecting drug use or high-risk sexual behaviors, represents a highly effective preventative measure. Integrating harm reduction strategies, such as needle and syringe programs (NSPs) and opioid agonist therapy (OAT), within prisons could further mitigate HIV transmission risks. While these interventions may face political or logistical challenges in correctional settings, their proven efficacy in community settings underscores their potential public health benefit within prisons. The lack of specific targets and funding mechanisms for these interventions within the national plan means that a vulnerable population is being underserved, potentially undermining the overall goal of eliminating new HIV transmissions by 2030.

Limitations and Future Directions

While the BMJ analysis effectively identifies a critical policy gap, it is important to acknowledge its limitations. As a policy analysis, it does not present new epidemiological data specific to HIV prevalence or incidence within England's prison system. Such data would strengthen the argument for targeted interventions by quantifying the exact burden of disease and the potential impact of proposed strategies. Future research should focus on collecting robust, up-to-date epidemiological data from correctional facilities in England to inform evidence-based policy development. This would include prevalence studies, incidence tracking, and analyses of risk factors specific to the incarcerated population.

Moving forward, the integration of prison-specific strategies into England's national HIV action plan is essential. This would involve collaboration between public health bodies, correctional services, and healthcare providers to develop and implement tailored interventions. Key areas for development include:

• Standardized Opt-Out Testing Protocols: Implementing routine, opt-out HIV testing upon prison entry and at regular intervals during incarceration.

• Enhanced Linkage to Care: Ensuring immediate access to ART and ongoing HIV care for all diagnosed individuals, with seamless transition of care upon release.

• PrEP Provision: Establishing clear pathways for the assessment and provision of PrEP to eligible incarcerated individuals.

• Harm Reduction Strategies: Exploring the feasibility and implementation of NSPs and OAT within prisons to reduce drug-related HIV transmission.

• Data Collection and Monitoring: Developing robust systems for collecting and analyzing HIV-related data within prisons to monitor progress and evaluate intervention effectiveness.

By addressing this missing front, England can strengthen its comprehensive approach to HIV elimination, ensuring that no population is left behind in the pursuit of the 2030 target.

CLINICAL IMPLICATIONS

The omission of prisons from England's HIV action plan is a policy blind spot that clinicians, particularly those in infectious diseases and general practice, should be aware of. Patients transitioning from correctional facilities often present with complex health needs, and an unidentified or poorly managed HIV status during incarceration can lead to delayed diagnosis, suboptimal treatment adherence, and continued transmission risk post-release. This places an additional burden on community healthcare services, which must then manage the consequences of missed opportunities for intervention within the prison system.

From an industry perspective, this policy gap represents a missed opportunity for pharmaceutical companies developing HIV diagnostics, prevention therapies like PrEP, and antiretroviral treatments. A national strategy that explicitly includes prisons would create a clear pathway for the procurement and implementation of these essential medicines and technologies within a defined, high-need population. Without this policy directive, the uptake of innovative therapies in correctional settings will likely remain fragmented and inconsistent, hindering both public health outcomes and market penetration.

Ultimately, the absence of a prison-specific strategy undermines the ambitious goal of ending new HIV transmissions by 2030. It is a reminder that public health initiatives must be truly comprehensive, addressing all populations, including those who are often marginalized or overlooked. For GPs and specialists, this means maintaining a high index of suspicion for HIV in patients with a history of incarceration and advocating for systemic changes that ensure equitable access to HIV prevention and care, regardless of an individual's custodial status.

EDITORIAL & AI STANDARDS

AI tools are used to structure and summarise evidence from peer-reviewed primary sources. Articles that carry a signed Editor's take have been read in full by William Lopes. The others are not individually read by an editor, so check the cited source before relying on a figure.

REFERENCES

1. 1. Sethi P. Prisons: A missing front in England's HIV action plan. BMJ. 2026.

LICENCE & RIGHTS

© 2026 The Life Science Feed. All rights reserved. This content is produced for medical education purposes. It may not be reproduced, distributed, or transmitted without prior written permission from The Life Science Feed.

MEDICAL DISCLAIMER

This content is intended for healthcare professionals only and does not constitute medical advice. Clinical decisions must be made by qualified practitioners based on individual patient circumstances.

FOLLOW THE LIFE SCIENCE FEED

Instagram @lifesciencefeed **LinkedIn** linkedin.com/company/thelifesciencefeed

thelifesciencefeed.com