Public Health Partnerships with Faith Communities Enhance Program Implementation

Written by The Life Science Feed Editorial Team · Published 21 June 2026 · Edited by Mara Voss

> Translating public health research findings into actionable community programs remains a challenge, often limited by a lack of tools to convey implementation capacity and readiness data. This gap hinders community organizations' ability to utilise results, build trust in research, and sustain participation. Recent studies indicate that partnering with faith communities, and providing tailored feedback, can significantly support health program implementation and technical assistance.1,2,3

KEY TAKEAWAYS

- The Pivot: Community-engaged research often fails to provide actionable feedback on implementation capacity, limiting program success and trust.
- The Data: A tailored survey response report process for congregations, as part of the Engaging Partners in Caring Communities (EPICC) project, was developed and used to support implementation planning and technical assistance.1,2,3
- The Action: Public health initiatives should consider formal partnerships with faith communities, incorporating structured feedback mechanisms to enhance program uptake and sustainability.

Community-engaged research relies on the effective dissemination of findings to its participants. However, existing methodologies frequently lack the mechanisms to translate complex data regarding implementation capacity and readiness into practical, actionable feedback for community organizations. This deficiency restricts the ability of these organizations to leverage research outcomes for guiding health program implementation, fostering trust in the research process, and maintaining sustained participation in future initiatives.1,2,3

Addressing the Feedback Gap in Community-Engaged Research

A study developed and applied a tailored survey response report process specifically for congregations involved in the Engaging Partners in Caring Communities (EPICC) project. This process aimed to provide actionable feedback to support implementation planning and technical assistance within these faith-based organizations.1,2,3 The EPICC project focused on addressing the identified gap in community-engaged research, where few tools effectively translate implementation capacity and readiness data into feedback that community organizations can readily use.1,2,3

The methodology involved creating a structured report process designed to be accessible and relevant to participating congregations. This allowed for the systematic collection and reporting of data related to their capacity and readiness for implementing health programs. The objective was to empower these community groups to utilise research results

more effectively, thereby enhancing their ability to guide health program implementation, build greater trust in research partnerships, and ensure ongoing engagement.1,2,3

Key Findings

The tailored survey response report process, as implemented within the EPICC project, demonstrated its utility in supporting implementation planning and technical assistance for congregations.1,2,3 While specific quantitative outcomes such as changes in program uptake rates or direct measures of improved health outcomes were not detailed in the abstracts, the development and application of this process directly addressed a critical need in community-engaged research.1,2,3 The intervention aimed to overcome the limitations imposed by the absence of tools that translate implementation capacity and readiness data into actionable feedback.1,2,3 This, in turn, is intended to improve community organizations' ability to use results for program guidance, build trust, and sustain participation.1,2,3
One paper specifically highlighted the broader implication that public health should actively partner with faith communities.1 This recommendation stems from the observed benefits of engaging these communities and providing them with tailored, actionable feedback to enhance their capacity for health program implementation.1,2,3 Another study, while focused on district health management teams in rural Uganda for the WHO LIVE LIFE suicide-prevention framework, also underscored the importance of community-engaged research and the need for effective tools to translate data into actionable feedback, aligning with the principles explored in the EPICC project.3

Limitations and Next Steps

The provided abstracts do not detail specific quantitative results regarding the impact of the tailored survey response report process on health outcomes or program efficacy. The focus is primarily on the development and application of the feedback mechanism itself, and its potential to address a known gap in community-engaged research. Future research should aim to quantify the direct benefits of such partnerships and feedback mechanisms, including measures of program adoption, fidelity, and health impact within the participating communities. Further investigation into the generalisability of these findings across diverse faith communities and public health initiatives would also be beneficial.

The EPICC project's emphasis on faith communities highlights their unique position as trusted social hubs, capable of reaching diverse populations with health messaging and interventions. The success of this tailored feedback mechanism suggests a model for other community-based organizations, not exclusively faith-based, to enhance their engagement with research and improve the practical application of findings. Future work could explore the cost-effectiveness of developing and implementing such tailored feedback systems, as well as investigate the optimal frequency and format of these reports to maximize utility and minimize burden on community partners.

Clinical Implications and Broader Impact

The findings from the EPICC project underscore a critical paradigm shift in community-engaged research: moving beyond mere data collection to active, reciprocal knowledge exchange. By providing actionable feedback, researchers can empower community organizations to become more effective agents of public health, thereby strengthening the public health infrastructure at the local level. This approach not only improves program implementation but also fosters a more equitable research ecosystem where community voices and needs are central to the research process and its outcomes. Ultimately, this enhances the sustainability and impact of public health initiatives, particularly in underserved

populations where faith communities often play a pivotal role.

For a wider understanding of how public health principles inform individual patient care and clinical practice, the Oxford Handbook of Clinical Medicine offers invaluable insights.

CLINICAL IMPLICATIONS

The observation that public health initiatives struggle to translate research into actionable community programs is not new, but the emphasis on faith communities as key partners provides a clear direction for general practitioners and specialists. Clinicians often find themselves at the interface of public health recommendations and community realities. Engaging faith communities, which frequently serve as established social networks, could streamline the dissemination of health information and the implementation of preventative programs. This approach moves beyond simply informing the public to actively empowering community structures to drive health improvements.

For the pharmaceutical industry and medical device manufacturers, this highlights an often-overlooked avenue for patient education and adherence support. While direct engagement with faith communities may not be within their typical remit, supporting public health bodies that adopt such strategies could yield dividends in patient outcomes and, by extension, market penetration for therapies requiring community-level understanding and support. It underscores that successful health interventions extend beyond the clinic to the social fabric of patient lives.

Patients stand to benefit significantly from this model. When health programs are integrated into trusted community settings, such as faith organizations, barriers to access and understanding can be reduced. This fosters a sense of ownership and relevance, potentially improving uptake of screenings, vaccinations, and chronic disease management programs. The trust built through sustained community engagement, facilitated by actionable feedback, is invaluable for long-term health improvements, moving beyond episodic care to a more holistic, community-supported wellness model.

EDITORIAL & AI STANDARDS

AI tools are used solely to structure and summarise evidence from peer-reviewed primary sources. No AI-generated conclusions appear in The Life Science Feed without editor verification against the primary source.

REFERENCES

1. 1. Bedi R. Public health should partner with faith communities. BMJ. 2026.
2. 2. Gishe J, Selove R, Saha S. Addressing a gap in community-engaged research: reporting results of congregations' implementation capacity assessment to research participants. Front Public Health. 2026. doi:10.3389/fpubh.2026.1826775
3. 3. Wakida EK, Ainamani HE, Maling S. District health management teams as champions for implementing the WHO LIVE LIFE suicide-prevention framework in Rural Uganda: a pre-implementation qualitative study. Implement Sci Commun. 2026. doi:10.1186/s43058-026-01004-y

LICENCE & RIGHTS

MEDICAL DISCLAIMER

This content is intended for healthcare professionals only and does not constitute medical advice. Clinical decisions must be made by qualified practitioners based on individual patient circumstances.

FOLLOW THE LIFE SCIENCE FEED

Instagram @lifesciencefeed **LinkedIn** linkedin.com/company/thelifesciencefeed

thelifesciencefeed.com