Rilonacept: Blocking IL-1 to break the cycle of recurrent pericarditis

Written by The Life Science Feed Editorial Team · Edited by Mara Voss

Recurrent pericarditis presents a persistent clinical challenge, marked by debilitating chest pain and frequent hospitalisations. Patients often face a cycle of inflammation, symptom recurrence, and escalating corticosteroid dependence, which carries its own significant burden of adverse effects. The underlying inflammatory mechanisms, particularly the role of interleukin-1 (IL-1), have become a focus for targeted therapies, aiming to interrupt this cycle and provide sustained relief.

KEY TAKEAWAYS

- The Pivot: Targeting interleukin-1 directly offers a mechanism-specific approach to preventing recurrent pericarditis, moving beyond broad anti-inflammatory strategies.
- The Data: Clinical evidence supports the efficacy of IL-1 blockade in reducing the frequency of pericarditis episodes and decreasing corticosteroid reliance.
- The Action: Clinicians should consider IL-1 inhibitors for patients with recurrent pericarditis who are dependent on corticosteroids or have failed conventional anti-inflammatory treatments.

Recurrent pericarditis, defined as at least two episodes of pericarditis with symptom-free intervals of 4 to 6 weeks or longer, affects a significant number of patients following an initial acute episode. The condition is often idiopathic, but can also be linked to autoimmune diseases, post-cardiac injury syndromes, or infections. The hallmark of recurrent pericarditis is inflammation of the pericardium, the sac surrounding the heart, leading to characteristic pleuritic chest pain, dyspnoea, and fatigue. This chronic inflammatory state not only impairs quality of life but also carries a risk of serious complications, including constrictive pericarditis and cardiac tamponade.

Standard-of-care for acute pericarditis typically involves non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) and colchicine. For recurrent episodes, corticosteroids are often introduced, but their long-term use is associated with a wide array of adverse effects, including metabolic disturbances, osteoporosis, and increased susceptibility to infection. Many patients become corticosteroid-dependent, meaning their symptoms return or worsen when attempts are made to taper the dose. This creates a difficult therapeutic dilemma, balancing symptom control against the risks of chronic steroid exposure. The unmet need for effective, steroid-sparing treatments is substantial, particularly for those with frequent recurrences or contraindications to conventional therapies. The SGLT2 inhibitors in preserved ejection fraction have shown how targeted approaches can redefine treatment paradigms in other cardiovascular conditions, and a similar precision is sought here.

The Inflammatory Cascade and IL-1

The pathogenesis of recurrent pericarditis is increasingly understood to involve an aberrant inflammatory response, with a central role for interleukin-1 (IL-1). IL-1 is a potent pro-inflammatory cytokine that drives systemic and local inflammation. It exists in two forms, IL-1 alpha and IL-1 beta, both of which bind to the IL-1 receptor. Activation of this pathway leads to the release of other inflammatory mediators, perpetuating the cycle of pericardial inflammation. In patients with recurrent pericarditis, elevated levels of IL-1 beta have been observed in the pericardial fluid and serum, suggesting its direct involvement in disease activity. This understanding has paved the way for therapies that specifically target the IL-1 pathway, aiming to dampen the inflammatory response at its source.

Rilonacept is a recombinant fusion protein that acts as a soluble decoy receptor for IL-1 alpha and IL-1 beta. It binds to these cytokines, preventing them from interacting with their natural receptors on cell surfaces and thereby inhibiting the downstream inflammatory cascade. This mechanism of action is distinct from broad-spectrum anti-inflammatory drugs like NSAIDs or corticosteroids, offering a more targeted approach to managing the underlying inflammation in recurrent pericarditis. The drug is administered subcutaneously, typically with a loading dose followed by weekly maintenance injections. Its long half-life allows for less frequent dosing compared to some other biologic agents.

Clinical Efficacy and Corticosteroid Sparing

Clinical investigations of rilonacept in recurrent pericarditis have focused on its ability to prevent recurrent episodes and reduce corticosteroid dependence. Patients enrolled in these studies typically had multiple prior episodes of pericarditis and were often on corticosteroids, NSAIDs, or colchicine, or combinations thereof. The primary endpoints usually involve the time to first adjudicated pericarditis recurrence and the proportion of patients achieving clinical response without recurrence and without corticosteroid use. Secondary endpoints often include measures of pain, inflammatory markers such as C-reactive protein (CRP), and quality of life. The goal is not just to suppress symptoms but to achieve sustained remission and enable corticosteroid withdrawal, addressing a critical unmet need. For a broader understanding of inflammatory conditions, the Oxford Handbook of Rheumatology provides a concise reference.

The efficacy of rilonacept has been demonstrated in reducing the risk of recurrent pericarditis episodes. Patients receiving rilonacept typically experience a significantly longer time to recurrence compared to those on placebo. This reduction in recurrence rate is often accompanied by a rapid and sustained decrease in inflammatory markers, particularly CRP, which serves as an objective measure of disease activity. The drug's ability to lower CRP levels quickly suggests a potent anti-inflammatory effect. Many patients also report a substantial improvement in their pericarditis pain scores and overall quality of life, reflecting the clinical impact of preventing recurrent episodes.

One of the most clinically meaningful benefits of rilonacept is its corticosteroid-sparing effect. A significant proportion of patients treated with rilonacept are able to successfully taper and discontinue corticosteroids without experiencing a recurrence of pericarditis. This is a critical outcome, as it mitigates the long-term adverse effects associated with chronic steroid use. The ability to achieve corticosteroid-free remission represents a major advance for patients who have been trapped in a cycle of steroid dependence. This also reduces the need for repeated hospitalisations and emergency department visits, easing the burden on both patients and healthcare systems. The challenge of managing recurrent conditions extends to other areas, as seen in why recurrent vertigo often goes undiagnosed.

Safety Profile and Practical Considerations

The safety profile of rilonacept has been generally favourable. The most common adverse events reported in clinical trials include injection site reactions, upper respiratory tract infections, and nasopharyngitis. These are typically mild to moderate in severity. Serious infections, while a concern with any immunomodulatory therapy, have not been disproportionately higher with rilonacept compared to placebo in the studied populations. Regular monitoring for signs of infection is prudent, particularly in patients with pre-existing immunosuppression. The drug's targeted mechanism of action, specifically blocking IL-1, may contribute to a more favourable safety profile compared to broader immunosuppressants.

But, as with any biologic therapy, the cost of rilonacept can be a significant consideration, potentially limiting its accessibility. Patient selection is therefore important for patient outcomes, reserving its use for those with truly refractory or corticosteroid-dependent recurrent pericarditis who have exhausted conventional treatment options. The long-term safety data, particularly regarding rare adverse events or the impact on cardiovascular outcomes beyond pericarditis, continues to be gathered through post-marketing surveillance and ongoing studies. The practicalities of subcutaneous self-administration also require patient education and support to ensure adherence. The role of cholecystectomy in recurrent gallstone pancreatitis highlights how definitive interventions can resolve recurrent issues, but pericarditis often requires ongoing management.

The availability of rilonacept represents a significant step forward in the management of recurrent pericarditis. It provides a targeted, mechanism-based therapy that addresses the underlying inflammatory drivers of the disease, offering a path to sustained remission and corticosteroid independence. While not a first-line treatment, it fills a critical gap for patients who struggle with frequent recurrences and the adverse effects of conventional therapies. Further research may explore its role in specific subgroups of patients, such as those with autoimmune-associated pericarditis, or its potential for earlier intervention in the disease course.

CLINICAL IMPLICATIONS

The arrival of rilonacept provides a much-needed option for patients with recurrent pericarditis, a condition that has historically been managed with a frustrating cycle of corticosteroids and their inevitable side effects. For clinicians, this means a genuine opportunity to break that cycle, particularly for those patients who are steroid-dependent or have failed multiple conventional therapies. It shifts the focus from broad immunosuppression to a more precise, mechanism-based intervention.

The corticosteroid-sparing effect is perhaps the most compelling aspect. Reducing reliance on steroids will undoubtedly improve the long-term health and quality of life for these patients, mitigating risks of osteoporosis, diabetes, and infection. This also frees up clinical time previously spent managing steroid-related complications, allowing for more focused care on the underlying cardiac condition.

But, the cost and the need for subcutaneous administration mean rilonacept will likely be reserved for the most challenging cases, at least initially. Careful patient selection, ensuring a clear diagnosis of recurrent pericarditis and documentation of prior treatment failures, will be paramount. This therapy is not for every patient with chest pain, but for those with truly refractory disease, it offers a substantial improvement over existing options.

The continued understanding of IL-1's role in inflammation, as exemplified by rilonacept, highlights the value of targeted biologics in rheumatology and cardiology. It sets a precedent for exploring similar pathways in

other inflammatory cardiovascular conditions where conventional treatments fall short. The challenge now is to integrate this therapy effectively into clinical pathways, ensuring appropriate access for those who stand to benefit most.

EDITORIAL & AI STANDARDS

AI tools are used solely to structure and summarise evidence from peer-reviewed primary sources. No AI-generated conclusions appear in The Life Science Feed without editor verification against the primary source.

REFERENCES

1. 1. Chiabrando JG, Bonaventura A, Vecchié A, et al. Management of Acute and Recurrent Pericarditis: JACC State-of-the-Art Review. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2020;75(1):76-92. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2019.11.021
2. 2. Cremer PC, Klein AL, Imazio M. Diagnosis, Risk Stratification, and Treatment of Pericarditis: A Review. JAMA. 2024;332(13):1090-1100. doi:10.1001/jama.2024.12935
3. 3. Affas ZR, Rasool BQ Sr, Sebastian SA, et al. Rilonacept and Anakinra in Recurrent Pericarditis: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Cureus. 2022;14(11):e31226. doi:10.7759/cureus.31226
4. 4. Peterson TA, Turner SP, Dolezal KA. Acute Pericarditis: Rapid Evidence Review. Am Fam Physician. 2024;109(5):441-446. PMID:38804758
5. 5. Imazio M, Gribaudo E, Gaita F. Recurrent Pericarditis. Prog Cardiovasc Dis. 2017;59(4):360-368. doi:10.1016/j.pcad.2016.10.001
6. 6. Andel PM, Aukrust P, Gleditsch J, et al. Recurrent pericarditis. Tidsskr Nor Laegeforen. 2023;143(8). doi:10.4045/tidsskr.22.0580

LICENCE & RIGHTS

MEDICAL DISCLAIMER

This content is intended for healthcare professionals only and does not constitute medical advice. Clinical decisions must be made by qualified practitioners based on individual patient circumstances.

FOLLOW THE LIFE SCIENCE FEED

Instagram @lifesciencefeed **LinkedIn** linkedin.com/company/thelifesciencefeed

thelifesciencefeed.com