Traditional Chinese Medicines Plus Metformin: An A1c Advantage?

Written by The Life Science Feed Editorial Team · Published 1 September 2026 · Edited by William Lopes

Managing type 2 diabetes often requires a multi-pronged approach, with metformin serving as a foundational therapy for many patients. But for those who do not achieve optimal glycemic control with metformin alone, or who experience its gastrointestinal side effects, clinicians often seek additional options. The integration of Traditional Chinese Medicines (TCMs) into conventional diabetes management has garnered interest, particularly in populations where these therapies are culturally prevalent.

KEY TAKEAWAYS

- The Pivot: Adding Traditional Chinese Medicines to metformin may improve glycemic control beyond what metformin alone achieves.
- The Data: Specific numeric results are not available, but the general trend indicates an additional reduction in A1c.
- The Action: Clinicians should consider the potential for complementary therapies in type 2 diabetes management, while remaining mindful of evidence and patient safety.

Type 2 diabetes mellitus remains a significant public health challenge, characterized by chronic hyperglycemia resulting from insulin resistance and progressive beta-cell dysfunction. Metformin, a biguanide, is typically the first-line pharmacologic agent, primarily acting by reducing hepatic glucose production and improving insulin sensitivity in peripheral tissues. Despite its efficacy, many patients require additional agents over time to maintain target glycemic levels, leading to a complex treatment market that includes sulfonylureas, GLP-1 receptor agonists, SGLL2 inhibitors, and insulin.

The search for effective adjunctive therapies has led some to explore Traditional Chinese Medicines (TCMs). These botanical and herbal formulations have been used for centuries in China to manage symptoms associated with what is now recognized as diabetes. The mechanisms of action for many TCMs are complex and often involve multiple pathways, including improving insulin sensitivity, enhancing insulin secretion, reducing glucose absorption, and exerting antioxidant or anti-inflammatory effects. This approach is often cited as a potential benefit over single-target pharmaceutical agents.

The Rationale for Combination Therapy

The concept of combining TCMs with metformin stems from the idea of synergistic effects. Metformin addresses core pathophysiological defects in type 2 diabetes, but TCMs might target other aspects of the disease, such as microvascular complications or systemic inflammation, which are not fully addressed by metformin alone. This complementary action

could lead to improved overall glycemic control and potentially mitigate some of metformin's side effects, particularly gastrointestinal distress, which can limit adherence for some patients. For a deeper dive into established diabetes management, the Oxford Handbook of Endocrinology and Diabetes offers a practical reference.

Many TCM formulations contain compounds that have been individually studied for their antidiabetic properties. Berberine, for example, a compound found in several TCM herbs, has been shown to activate AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK), a pathway also influenced by metformin. This overlap suggests a potential for enhanced metabolic benefits when used together. Other common TCM ingredients, such as ginseng, astragalus, and bitter melon, are thought to improve glucose metabolism through various mechanisms, including enhancing insulin signaling and reducing oxidative stress.

Clinical Observations and Outcomes

Clinical observations indicate that patients receiving a combination of specific TCMs and metformin often experience greater reductions in HbA1c compared to those on metformin monotherapy. While specific trial data are not available here, the general trend suggests that these combinations can lead to a clinically meaningful improvement in glycemic control. This additional benefit is particularly relevant for patients who are not reaching their glycemic targets with metformin alone and are seeking alternatives to conventional second-line agents.

The impact extends beyond just A1c. Some reports indicate improvements in fasting plasma glucose and postprandial glucose levels. These changes suggest a more comprehensive effect on glucose homeostasis. But, the specific TCM formulations used vary widely, and standardisation remains a challenge, making direct comparisons across different studies difficult. This variability means that the efficacy and safety profile can differ significantly depending on the specific herbs and preparation methods employed.

Safety and Tolerability Considerations

Safety is a paramount concern with any therapeutic intervention. While many TCMs are generally considered safe when used appropriately, potential drug-herb interactions with metformin or other concomitant medications must be carefully considered. Some TCMs can affect liver enzymes, renal function, or coagulation, necessitating careful monitoring, especially in patients with pre-existing comorbidities. Patients should always discuss any complementary therapies with their healthcare provider to avoid adverse events.

The quality control of TCM products is another important aspect. Contamination with heavy metals, pesticides, or undeclared pharmaceutical ingredients has been reported in some unregulated products. This issue highlights the need for stringent regulatory oversight and reliable sourcing for any TCMs used in a clinical setting. Without robust quality assurance, the risk of unexpected side effects or reduced efficacy increases. For patients managing complex medication regimens, a 7-Day Weekly Pill Organiser can support adherence and reduce confusion.

The integration of TCMs into mainstream diabetes care also raises questions about patient education and cultural competency. Clinicians need to understand the patient's perspective on traditional medicine and be prepared to discuss these options openly and knowledgeably. This dialogue is essential for building trust and ensuring that patients make informed decisions about their treatment plan. Our previous coverage on metformin and exercise also highlights the complexities of lifestyle and pharmacological interactions.

Where it falls short

The primary limitation in evaluating TCMs is the lack of large-scale, rigorously designed, placebo-controlled trials that meet Western regulatory standards. Many studies are small, have short follow-up periods, or lack appropriate control groups. This makes it difficult to definitively establish the efficacy and safety of specific TCM formulations. The heterogeneity of TCM interventions, with varying herbal combinations and dosages, complicates the synthesis of evidence.

Another challenge is the mechanistic understanding. While some active compounds have been identified, the full pharmacological profile of complex herbal mixtures is often unknown. This lack of precise mechanism of action can make it difficult to predict interactions or adverse effects. The field needs more research that isolates active compounds, elucidates their mechanisms, and tests them in well-designed clinical trials. This would move TCMs from anecdotal evidence to evidence-based practice, similar to the discussions around lifestyle interventions versus metformin.

The long-term safety data for many TCMs, particularly when used in combination with conventional pharmaceuticals, is also sparse. While short-term studies may show good tolerability, the effects of chronic use, especially on organ systems like the liver and kidneys, require more extensive investigation. This gap in knowledge is a significant barrier to widespread adoption in Western clinical practice. The ongoing evolution of diabetes treatment, including new agents like mazdutide, highlights the need for robust evidence for all therapies.

CLINICAL IMPLICATIONS

The notion that Traditional Chinese Medicines can augment metformin's effect on A1c is intriguing, but clinicians must approach this with a healthy dose of skepticism and pragmatism. While the potential for additional glycemic control is appealing, especially for patients struggling to meet targets, the evidence base remains largely observational or from smaller, less rigorous studies. This is not to dismiss the historical use or cultural significance of TCMs, but rather to highlight the need for data that meets contemporary standards of efficacy and safety.

Integrating TCMs into a patient's diabetes management requires careful consideration of potential drug interactions, particularly with metformin and other antidiabetic agents. Many patients are already on complex regimens, and adding uncharacterized herbal compounds could introduce unforeseen risks or alter the pharmacokinetics of established medications. A thorough medication history, including all supplements and traditional remedies, is non-negotiable.

The variability in TCM formulations and the lack of standardized manufacturing processes present a significant hurdle. Unlike pharmaceutical drugs with defined active ingredients and dosages, TCM products can differ widely in composition and potency. This makes it difficult for a clinician to confidently recommend a specific product or predict its effects, highlighting the importance of patient education on sourcing and quality.

The current evidence does not yet support a blanket recommendation for adding TCMs to metformin. For now, it remains an area where patient preference and cultural background may drive interest, but robust, large-scale clinical trials are essential before these combinations can be routinely integrated into evidence-based guidelines for type 2 diabetes management.

EDITORIAL & AI STANDARDS

AI tools are used solely to structure and summarise evidence from peer-reviewed primary sources. No AI-generated conclusions appear in The Life Science Feed without editor verification against the primary source.

REFERENCES

1. 1. Churuangsuk C, Hall J, Reynolds A, Griffin SJ, Combet E, Lean MEJ. Diets for weight management in adults with type 2 diabetes: an umbrella review of published meta-analyses and systematic review of trials of diets for diabetes remission. Diabetologia. 2022;65(1):14-36. doi:10.1007/s00125-021-05577-2
2. 2. Whiteley C, Benton F, Matwiejczyk L, Luscombe-Marsh N. Determining Dietary Patterns to Recommend for Type 2 Diabetes: An Umbrella Review. Nutrients. 2023;15(4). doi:10.3390/nu15040861
3. 3. Milenkovic T, Bozhinovska N, Macut D, et al. Mediterranean Diet and Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus: A Perpetual Inspiration for the Scientific World. A Review. Nutrients. 2021;13(4). doi:10.3390/nu13041307
4. 4. Poon ET, Li HY, Kong APS, Little JP. Efficacy of high-intensity interval training in individuals with type 2 diabetes mellitus: An umbrella review of systematic reviews and meta-analyses. Diabetes Obes Metab. 2025;27(4):1719-1734. doi:10.1111/dom.16220
5. 5. Leite RGOF, Banzato LR, Galendi JSC, et al. Effectiveness of non-pharmacological strategies in the management of type 2 diabetes in primary care: a protocol for a systematic review and network meta-analysis. BMJ Open. 2020;10(1):e034481. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2019-034481
6. 6. Guo J, Smith SM. Newer drug treatments for type 2 diabetes. BMJ. 2021;373:n1171. doi:10.1136/bmj.n1171

LICENCE & RIGHTS

MEDICAL DISCLAIMER

This content is intended for healthcare professionals only and does not constitute medical advice. Clinical decisions must be made by qualified practitioners based on individual patient circumstances.

FOLLOW THE LIFE SCIENCE FEED

Instagram @lifesciencefeed **LinkedIn** linkedin.com/company/thelifesciencefeed

thelifesciencefeed.com