

Type 2 diabetes: What if insulin only needed to be weekly?

Written by The Life Science Feed Editorial Team · Published 25 September 2026 · Edited by Mara Voss

Managing type 2 diabetes often involves complex daily insulin regimens, a burden that can significantly impact patient adherence and quality of life. The development of once-weekly basal insulin formulations seeks to address this challenge, offering a potential simplification of therapy.

Insulin efsitora alfa is one such investigational agent, designed to provide sustained glucose control with a single weekly injection, moving beyond the established daily basal insulin standard.

KEY TAKEAWAYS

- **The Pivot:** Once-weekly basal insulin formulations like efsitora alfa aim to reduce injection frequency and improve adherence compared to daily insulin.
- **The Data:** Clinical development focuses on achieving comparable glycaemic control and safety profiles to existing daily basal insulins.
- **The Action:** Clinicians should monitor ongoing trial data for these weekly insulins, considering their potential to simplify treatment for patients struggling with daily injections.

Type 2 diabetes mellitus is a progressive condition characterised by insulin resistance and declining beta-cell function, leading to hyperglycaemia. For many patients, lifestyle modifications and oral antihyperglycaemic agents eventually prove insufficient, necessitating the initiation of insulin therapy. Basal insulin forms the cornerstone of this treatment, providing a steady background level of insulin to control fasting and postprandial glucose levels.

The current standard of care for basal insulin involves daily injections, typically once or twice a day, using long-acting analogues such as insulin glargine or insulin detemir. While effective, the daily injection schedule can be a barrier to adherence for some patients, particularly those with complex medication regimens or needle phobia. This unmet need has driven the development of ultra-long-acting insulin formulations.

The evolution of basal insulin formulations

The journey of insulin therapy has seen continuous innovation, from animal-derived insulins to human recombinant insulins, and then to a range of insulin analogues designed for specific pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic profiles. Early basal insulins, like NPH, had a less predictable action profile and often required twice-daily dosing. The advent of long-acting analogues, such as insulin glargine and insulin detemir, offered more stable and prolonged action, enabling once-daily administration for many patients.

These long-acting analogues achieve their extended duration through various mechanisms, including precipitation at the injection site (glargine) or binding to albumin (detemir). The goal has always been to mimic physiological basal

insulin secretion as closely as possible, minimising fluctuations and reducing the risk of hypoglycaemia. But even with once-daily options, the cumulative burden of daily injections over years of treatment remains a significant factor for patients.

The concept of ultra-long-acting insulins, designed for once-weekly administration, represents the next logical step in this evolution. These formulations aim to maintain stable glycaemic control over a full week from a single injection, potentially transforming the patient experience. The underlying principle involves engineering insulin molecules with even greater protraction, often through enhanced albumin binding or novel molecular structures that delay absorption and degradation.

This shift from daily to weekly dosing is not merely a convenience factor. It has the potential to improve adherence, reduce treatment burden, and simplify the overall management of type 2 diabetes, especially for those who find daily injections challenging. For a deeper dive into how continuous glucose monitoring can improve outcomes, consider CGM offers tighter glucose control for type 2 diabetes on dialysis.

Insulin efsitora alfa: A weekly approach

Insulin efsitora alfa is an investigational basal insulin analogue engineered for once-weekly administration. Its design incorporates modifications intended to achieve a prolonged duration of action, allowing for a consistent glucose-lowering effect over seven days. This extended half-life is critical for maintaining stable glycaemic control without the need for daily injections.

The development program for efsitora alfa has focused on evaluating its efficacy and safety profile compared to existing daily basal insulins. The primary objective is to demonstrate non-inferiority in glycaemic control, typically measured by changes in HbA1c, while also assessing its impact on body weight and the incidence of hypoglycaemia. Hypoglycaemia remains a key concern with any insulin therapy, and ultra-long-acting formulations must demonstrate a comparable or improved safety profile in this regard.

The pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic properties of efsitora alfa are central to its once-weekly utility. It is designed to be slowly absorbed from the subcutaneous injection site, forming a depot that gradually releases insulin into the circulation. This sustained release profile aims to provide a steady state of insulin over the week, mimicking the continuous basal insulin supply needed for optimal glucose regulation. Understanding these properties is essential for predicting its clinical performance and ensuring patient safety.

While the promise of once-weekly dosing is clear, the clinical data must rigorously establish that this convenience does not come at the cost of efficacy or safety. The balance between potent glucose lowering and a low risk of hypoglycaemia is paramount for any new insulin therapy. Clinicians often consult resources like the Oxford Handbook of Endocrinology and Diabetes for practical guidance on managing these complex trade-offs.

Clinical considerations for weekly insulin

The introduction of once-weekly basal insulin formulations like efsitora alfa holds significant implications for clinical practice. For patients struggling with adherence to daily injections, a weekly regimen could substantially improve their quality of life and potentially lead to better long-term glycaemic control. This is particularly relevant for older patients, those with cognitive impairments, or individuals with demanding schedules.

But the transition to a weekly insulin requires careful patient education and monitoring. Patients accustomed to daily

injections will need clear guidance on the new dosing schedule, potential differences in injection technique, and how to manage missed doses. The prolonged action also means that any dose adjustments will take longer to manifest their full effect, necessitating a more deliberate titration strategy.

The potential for reduced injection site reactions is another consideration. While daily injections are generally well-tolerated, reducing the frequency could minimise local discomfort or irritation for some individuals. This might contribute to overall patient satisfaction and persistence with therapy. However, the volume of a weekly injection might be larger than a daily one, which could introduce its own set of considerations.

The long-term impact on cardiovascular outcomes and other diabetes-related complications will also be a critical area of investigation. While glycaemic control is the immediate target, the ultimate goal of diabetes management is to prevent or delay these serious complications. Any new insulin formulation must demonstrate at least non-inferiority in these broader clinical outcomes. For insights into other novel approaches, consider our coverage on Mazdutide's Dual Agonism: A New Path for Type 2 Diabetes in Chinese Adults?

Challenges and future directions

Despite the clear advantages of once-weekly dosing, several challenges remain. Ensuring consistent absorption and action over a full week is technically demanding. Variability in absorption could lead to unpredictable glucose levels, increasing the risk of both hypoglycaemia and hyperglycaemia. The development process must demonstrate robust consistency across diverse patient populations.

The cost-effectiveness of these new formulations will also be a key factor in their adoption. While improved adherence and better glycaemic control could lead to reduced healthcare costs associated with complications, the initial price point of novel biological agents is often higher. Payers and healthcare systems will need to weigh these factors carefully. The broader economic implications of diabetes management are complex, as explored in Isaacs Emphasizes 'Human Touch' in Diabetes Care Beyond Technology.

Future research will likely explore the combination of once-weekly basal insulins with other weekly injectable agents, such as GLP-1 receptor agonists, to further simplify treatment regimens. A single weekly injection combining both basal insulin and a GLP-1 RA could offer a highly convenient and effective option for many patients with type 2 diabetes.

This would represent a significant step forward in reducing the daily pill and injection burden.

The ultimate success of insulin efsitora alfa and other weekly insulins will depend on their ability to deliver sustained, predictable glycaemic control with a favourable safety profile, all while offering a tangible improvement in patient convenience. The field awaits further detailed clinical trial results to fully understand their place in the evolving market of diabetes care.

CLINICAL IMPLICATIONS

The prospect of once-weekly basal insulin is genuinely compelling for patients with type 2 diabetes, particularly those who struggle with the daily ritual of injections. Adherence to chronic therapies is a perennial challenge, and anything that simplifies a regimen without compromising efficacy or safety is a welcome development. But clinicians must temper enthusiasm with a dose of realism. While convenience is valuable, the primary goal remains optimal glycaemic control with minimal hypoglycaemia. The long half-life of these weekly insulins means that dose adjustments will take longer to stabilise, demanding a more patient and precise titration

strategy from both the prescriber and the patient.

The real-world effectiveness will hinge on more than just the drug's pharmacology. Patient education, careful monitoring, and integration into existing care pathways will be essential for successful patient outcomes.

But we need to see data from a sufficient number of patients ($n=X$) with a narrow confidence interval (e.g., 95% CI: Y-Z) confirming that the reduced injection frequency translates into sustained improvements in HbA1c and, critically, no increase in severe hypoglycaemia or other adverse events.

For now, these weekly insulins represent an exciting evolution in diabetes management. They offer a clear pathway to reducing treatment burden, but their ultimate impact will depend on a careful balance of efficacy, safety, and thoughtful implementation in clinical practice.

EDITORIAL & AI STANDARDS

AI tools are used solely to structure and summarise evidence from peer-reviewed primary sources. No AI-generated conclusions appear in The Life Science Feed without editor verification against the primary source.

REFERENCES

1. Pieber TR, Bajaj HS, Fluhr G, et al. Exercise or Fasting in Individuals With Type 2 Diabetes Receiving Once-Weekly Basal Insulin Icodec. *Diabetes Obes Metab.* 2026;28(9):8523-8532. doi:10.1111/dom.71050

LICENCE & RIGHTS

© 2026 The Life Science Feed. All rights reserved. This content is produced for medical education purposes. It may not be reproduced, distributed, or transmitted without prior written permission from The Life Science Feed.

MEDICAL DISCLAIMER

This content is intended for healthcare professionals only and does not constitute medical advice. Clinical decisions must be made by qualified practitioners based on individual patient circumstances.

FOLLOW THE LIFE SCIENCE FEED

Instagram @lifesciencefeed **LinkedIn** linkedin.com/company/thelifesciencefeed

thelifesciencefeed.com