

Vestibular rehabilitation: Which protocols deliver lasting relief?

Written by The Life Science Feed Editorial Team · Edited by William Lopes

Persistent dizziness and imbalance are debilitating symptoms for patients with vestibular disorders, significantly impacting quality of life and increasing fall risk. While vestibular rehabilitation therapy (VRT) is a cornerstone of management, the specific protocols that produce durable, long-term benefit remain a subject of clinical discussion.

Understanding which VRT components are most effective for different vestibular pathologies is essential for clinicians aiming to optimize patient outcomes and reduce recurrence of symptoms.

KEY TAKEAWAYS

- **The Pivot:** Durable benefit in VRT hinges on tailored protocols that address specific vestibular deficits, moving beyond generic exercises.
- **The Data:** Protocols incorporating adaptation, habituation, and substitution strategies are consistently associated with improved long-term functional outcomes.
- **The Action:** Clinicians should individualize VRT, focusing on specific exercises that challenge the impaired vestibular system and promote central compensation.

Vestibular disorders encompass a broad range of conditions, from benign paroxysmal positional vertigo (BPPV) to unilateral or bilateral vestibular hypofunction, and central vestibular pathologies. The common thread is a disruption in the processing of spatial information, leading to symptoms such as vertigo, dizziness, oscillopsia, and postural instability. These symptoms are not merely inconvenient; they can severely limit daily activities, employment, and social engagement, often leading to anxiety and depression.

Standard care for many vestibular disorders involves VRT, a specialized form of physical therapy designed to improve balance and reduce dizziness. The overarching goal of VRT is to promote central nervous system compensation for peripheral or central vestibular deficits. This compensation occurs through several mechanisms, primarily adaptation, habituation, and substitution.

Understanding the Core Mechanisms of VRT

Adaptation exercises aim to improve the gain of the vestibulo-ocular reflex (VOR), which stabilizes gaze during head movement. When the VOR is impaired, patients experience oscillopsia, a sensation that the visual world is blurring or jumping with head motion. Exercises typically involve moving the head while maintaining visual fixation on a stationary target. The intensity and speed of head movements are progressively increased to challenge the system and drive neural plasticity. For patients with unilateral vestibular hypofunction, these exercises are particularly important for restoring

gaze stability and reducing visual blurring during ambulation.

Habituation exercises are designed to reduce dizziness provoked by specific movements or visual stimuli. Patients with chronic dizziness often develop avoidance behaviors, limiting head movements or activities that trigger symptoms.

Habituation protocols involve repeated exposure to these symptom-provoking movements or stimuli, gradually reducing the brain's pathological response over time. This process is akin to desensitization, where the central nervous system learns to ignore or suppress the abnormal signals. Common habituation exercises include head movements in various planes, visual tracking tasks, and positional changes that initially induce dizziness.

Substitution strategies are employed when the vestibular system is severely damaged or when adaptation and habituation are insufficient. These strategies teach patients to use alternative sensory inputs, such as vision and somatosensation, to compensate for the impaired vestibular function. For instance, patients might learn to rely more on visual cues for balance or to use proprioceptive feedback from their feet and ankles to maintain stability. Balance training exercises, often performed on unstable surfaces or with eyes closed, are key components of substitution protocols. These exercises enhance the use of remaining sensory information and improve postural control, especially in challenging environments. Clinicians often find the Oxford Handbook of Neurology a useful quick-reference for these complex neurological assessments.

Tailoring Protocols for Specific Conditions

The effectiveness of VRT protocols is highly dependent on the underlying vestibular pathology. For BPPV, canalith repositioning maneuvers (CRMs) are the gold standard. These maneuvers, such as the Epley or Semont maneuver, physically relocate otoconia from the semicircular canals back into the utricle. While not strictly VRT, CRMs are often followed by brief periods of activity restriction or specific positional advice to prevent recurrence, though the evidence for these post-maneuver restrictions is not universally strong. The immediate and often complete resolution of vertigo with CRMs makes them a highly effective intervention, but they do not address underlying vestibular weakness or chronic imbalance that may coexist.

Patients with unilateral vestibular hypofunction (UVH), often resulting from vestibular neuritis or labyrinthitis, benefit most from adaptation and gaze stabilization exercises. The goal is to restore the symmetry of vestibular input and improve VOR gain. Early initiation of VRT after an acute event can significantly accelerate recovery and prevent chronic symptoms. Progressive exercises that challenge the VOR, such as X1 and X2 paradigms (head moving, target stationary; head and target moving in opposite directions), are central to these protocols. These patients also benefit from balance training to improve postural stability, particularly in dynamic situations.

Bilateral vestibular hypofunction (BVH), a more challenging condition often caused by ototoxic drugs or autoimmune disorders, requires a greater emphasis on substitution strategies. Since both vestibular organs are impaired, adaptation of the VOR is limited. Patients with BVH experience severe oscillopsia and profound imbalance, especially in low-light conditions or on uneven surfaces. VRT for BVH focuses on maximizing the use of visual and somatosensory cues for balance and gaze stability. Exercises include extensive balance training, often with visual feedback, and strategies to improve head and eye coordination. While full recovery of vestibular function is rare, these protocols can significantly improve functional independence and reduce fall risk. For a deeper dive into the nuances of differentiating these conditions, our previous coverage on Vestibular Migraine vs. Meniere Disease offers additional context.

Addressing Chronic Dizziness and Central Vestibular Disorders

Chronic subjective dizziness (CSD), now often termed Persistent Postural-Perceptual Dizziness (PPPD), presents a unique challenge. This condition is characterized by persistent non-vertiginous dizziness, unsteadiness, or a sensation of rocking or swaying, often exacerbated by upright posture, active or passive motion, and exposure to complex visual stimuli. VRT for PPPD typically incorporates habituation exercises, desensitization to visual triggers, and balance training, but also integrates cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT) principles to address anxiety and hypervigilance. The goal is to reduce symptom-provoking behaviors and normalize sensory processing. This often requires a multidisciplinary approach, as discussed in our article on why recurrent vertigo often goes undiagnosed.

Central vestibular disorders, arising from lesions in the brainstem, cerebellum, or cerebral cortex, require highly individualized VRT protocols. The specific exercises depend on the location and nature of the lesion and the resulting deficits. For example, cerebellar lesions may lead to severe truncal ataxia, necessitating extensive balance and coordination training. Brainstem lesions can affect multiple cranial nerves and pathways, requiring a combination of gaze stabilization, habituation, and substitution. The complexity of these cases often demands close collaboration between neurologists, physical therapists, and other specialists.

The Role of Home Exercise Programs and Long-Term Adherence

Durable benefit from VRT relies heavily on patient adherence to home exercise programs. While supervised therapy sessions are essential for initial instruction and progression, consistent practice outside the clinic is what drives lasting neuroplastic changes. Protocols that include clear, written instructions, visual aids, and regular check-ins with the therapist tend to foster better adherence. The frequency and intensity of home exercises are gradually increased, ensuring that the vestibular system is continuously challenged without overwhelming the patient. The goal is to empower patients to manage their symptoms independently and maintain improvements long after formal therapy concludes.

The duration of VRT varies, but for many chronic conditions, a sustained commitment to exercises is necessary. Initial intensive phases may last several weeks, followed by maintenance programs that patients can integrate into their daily routines. The open-label nature of most VRT studies is an obvious caveat, as patient motivation and therapist skill can influence outcomes. Still, the consistent clinical observation is that those who commit to the full protocol see the most enduring improvements. The trial was not powered to detect differences in specific exercise modalities, and that gap matters for refining future protocols.

CLINICAL IMPLICATIONS

The effectiveness of vestibular rehabilitation is not a question of 'if' but 'how.' Clinicians must move beyond generic balance exercises and embrace tailored protocols that specifically target adaptation, habituation, and substitution mechanisms. A one-size-fits-all approach to VRT is simply insufficient for the diverse pathologies encountered in practice.

For patients with unilateral deficits, aggressive gaze stabilization is paramount. But for those with bilateral loss, the focus must shift to maximizing visual and somatosensory reliance. Understanding these distinctions is critical for prescribing the correct regimen and setting realistic patient expectations for recovery.

The challenge lies in ensuring patient adherence to often demanding home exercise programs. Clear instructions, regular follow-up, and perhaps even digital tools to track progress are essential to translate

in-clinic gains into durable, real-world benefit. Without sustained effort, even the most meticulously designed protocol will fall short.

The goal is to equip patients with the tools to manage their vestibular symptoms independently, reducing reliance on ongoing clinical intervention. This requires a deep understanding of the underlying pathophysiology and a commitment to individualized, progressive therapy.

EDITORIAL & AI STANDARDS

AI tools are used solely to structure and summarise evidence from peer-reviewed primary sources. No AI-generated conclusions appear in The Life Science Feed without editor verification against the primary source.

REFERENCES

1. Li J, Xu X, Deng X, Li S, Guo T, Xie H. Association of Vestibular Disorders and Cognitive Function: A Systematic Review. *Laryngoscope*. 2024;134(12):4858-4872. doi:10.1002/lary.31646
2. Staab JP, Eckhardt-Henn A, Horii A, et al. Diagnostic criteria for persistent postural-perceptual dizziness (PPPD): Consensus document of the committee for the Classification of Vestibular Disorders of the Bárány Society. *J Vestib Res*. 2017;27(4):191-208. doi:10.3233/VES-170622
3. Kim CH, McCray LR, Nguyen SA, Staab JP, Jafri S, Rizk H. Anxiety and Depression in Adults With Vestibular Disorders: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Laryngoscope*. 2026;136(2):535-546. doi:10.1002/lary.70055
4. Strupp M, Dlugaiczek J, Ertl-Wagner BB, Rujescu D, Westhofen M, Dieterich M. Vestibular Disorders. *Dtsch Arztebl Int*. 2020;117(17):300-310. doi:10.3238/arztebl.2020.0300
5. Dunlap PM, Holmberg JM, Whitney SL. Vestibular rehabilitation: advances in peripheral and central vestibular disorders. *Curr Opin Neurol*. 2019;32(1):137-144. doi:10.1097/WCO.0000000000000632
6. Cohen HS. A review on screening tests for vestibular disorders. *J Neurophysiol*. 2019;122(1):81-92. doi:10.1152/jn.00819.2018

LICENCE & RIGHTS

© 2026 The Life Science Feed. All rights reserved. This content is produced for medical education purposes. It may not be reproduced, distributed, or transmitted without prior written permission from The Life Science Feed.

MEDICAL DISCLAIMER

This content is intended for healthcare professionals only and does not constitute medical advice. Clinical decisions must be made by qualified practitioners based on individual patient circumstances.

FOLLOW THE LIFE SCIENCE FEED

Instagram @lifesciencefeed **LinkedIn** linkedin.com/company/thelifesciencefeed

thelifesciencefeed.com